DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 119 323 EA 007 959

AUTHOR Quindry, Kenneth E.; Currence, Mary G. TITLE State and Local Revenue Potential, 1974.

INSTITUTION Southern Regional Education Board, Atlanta, Ga.

PUB DATE 76

NOTE 124p.; Not available in hard copy due to marginal

legibility of original document

AVAILABLE FROM Southern Regional Education Board, 130 Sixth Street,

N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30313 (\$2.00)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 Plus Postage. HC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS *Comparative Statistics; Fiscal Capacity; Statistical

Data; *Tables (Data); *Tax Effort; *Taxes

ABSTRACT

This publication presents numerous statistical tables that summarize 1974 data on state and local tax effort for the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The tax effort performance for each state is measured for the 14 most productive state tax sources, and the differences in performance are computed for each state. State personal income is used as the common denominator for comparing tax effort in most tax categories. The basic statistical data on which the calculations are based were supplied by the United States Bureau of the Census. Altogether 49 tables present comparative data on actual and potential tax revenues on a state-by-state and regional basis; to facilitate year-to-year comparison of data, most of the tables are identical in format to the tables in "State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973." (JG)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

STATE AND LOCAL REVENUE POTENTIAL, 1974

Ъу

Kenneth E. Quindry Research Professor Center for Business and Economic Research The University of Tennessee

and

Mary G. Currence Research Associate Center for Business and Economic Research The University of Tennessee

1976

Southern Regional Education Board 130 Sixth Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30313 (404) 875-9211

\$2,00

LINELENESPECT

FOREWORD

In this eighth consecutive annual report on State and Local Revenue Potential, the authors provide a ready reference to the latest changes in patterns of state finance. The basic method of analysis remains the same, thus providing for compatibility and continuity with previous reports.

Among the authors' conclusions is their statement that, "The tax burden of the SREB states continued to be the least in relation to total personal income and that of the Middle Atlantic states was the greatest. The three regions with the highest burden reduced taxes from 1973 to 1974, while the three regions with the lowest burden increased average taxes as a percent of personal income. Thus, taxes nationwide became more equal."

Regional convergence with the nation's characteristics has been noted by many observers of recent Southern history. While increasing similarities between regional and national characteristics are sometimes unnoticed, regional diversities as great as those of the nation are also sometimes neglected. A case in point is the spread in tax burden to which the authors refer. The South includes the state with the third highest burden in the nation as well as the one with the ninth lowest burden. Thus each state must cope with its own special fiscal and educational problems as well as those shared with the region. We hope this series will continue to be useful in this regard.

The Center for Business and Economic Research at the University of Tennessee has again helped make possible this analysis by generously providing for the use of computer facilities and the able assistance of staff. Particular acknowledgement is made to Vernon Roddy for data programming responsibilities, to Mary Brashear for data compilation, Jeanne Mecklin and Jane Davis for editorial assistance, and to Patricia Hunley and Susannah Prescott, typists—all members of the Center staff.

Winfred L. Godwin President



3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Methodology	4
Sources of Data	7
The Statistical Tables	8
Some Guides in Utilizing the Report	10
An Overview, 1967 to 1974	12
An Overview of 1973 and 1974	15
Tax Collections in Fiscal 1974	16
Tax Collections and Unutilized Potential. The General Sales and Use Taxes The Selective Sales and Use Taxes Death and Gift Taxes. The Property Taxes. The Individual Income Taxes The Corporate Income Taxes Licenses and Motor Fuels Taxes. Alcoholic Beverage Licenses. Motor Vehicle Related Taxes. Severance Taxes Transfer Taxes. Miscellaneous, Other and Unallocable Taxes, Total Taxes	16 19 21 23 24 26 29 31 31 32 34 35
Major Tax Actions in 1974	37
Major Tax Actions in 1975	38
General Sales Taxes	39 40 45
Summary and Conclusions	49
Statistical Tables	51
Notes and Sources for Tables	114



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
Α.	State and Local Tax Collections, 1967-1974, Total and by Major Tax Source	13
В.	State and Local Unutilized Tax Potential, 1967-1974, Total and by Major Tax Source	14
C.	Tax Collections and Unutilized Potential by Region, 1973 and 1974, With Percentage Change	17
D.	State and Local General Sales Taxes, Collections and Unutilized Potential by Region, 1973 and 1974, With Percentage Change	20
Е.	State and Local Selective Sales Taxes, Collections and Unutilized Potential by Region, 1973 and 1974, With Percentage Change	22
F.	State and Local Property Taxes, Collections and Unutilized Potential by Region, 1973 and 1974, With Percentage Change	25
G.	State and Local Individual Income Taxes, Collections and Unutilized Potential by Region, 1973 and 1974, With Percentage Change	27
Н.	State and Local Corporate Income Taxes, Collections and Unutilized Potential by Region, 1973 and 1974, With Percentage Change	30
J.	State Sales Taxes	41
к.	State General Sales Tax Rates	43
L.	Motor Fuel Tax Rates	47
М.	Cigarette Tax Rates	48
1.	Population, Personal Income and State and Local General Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revenue for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	52
2.	State and Local Selective Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revenue, for States, Regions, and the United States, 1974	54



LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

ABLE		PAGI
3.	State Death and Gift Tax Revenue, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	. 58
4.	State and Local General Property Tax Revenue for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	60
5.	State and Local Individual and Corporate Income Tax Revenue, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	62
6.	State Alcoholic Beverage License Tax Revenue, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	64
7.	Number of Motor Vehicles Registered and State and Local Motor Vehicle License Tax Revenue, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	66
8.	State and Local Motor Fuel Tax Revenue, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	68
9.	Value of Products Severed and Severance Tax Revenue, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	7 0
10.	State Transfer Taxes, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	72
11.	Other Tax Revenue and Total State and Local Tax Revenue, for States, Regions and the United States, 1974	74
12.	Summary of Unutilized Potential, 1974	76
13.	General Sales and Gross Receipts Taxes, Collections and Additional Revenue Possible by Collections of Unutilized Potential Amounts, Per Capita and Per \$1000 of Personal Income, 1974	80
14.	Selective Sales and Gross Receipts Taxes, Collections and Additional Revenue Possible by Collections of Unutilized Potential Amounts, Per Capita and Per \$1000 of Personal Income, 1974	81
15.	General Property Taxes, Collections and Additional Revenue Possible by Collections of Unutilized Potential Amounts, Per Capita and Per \$1000 of Personal Income, 1974	82



LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE		PAGE
16.	Individual Income Taxes, Collections and Additional Revenue Possible by Collections of Unutilized Potential Amounts, Per Capita and Per \$1000 of Personal Income, 1974	83
17.	Corporate Income Taxes, Collections and Additional Revenue Possible by Collections of Unutilized Potential Amounts, Per Capita and Per \$1000 of Personal Income, 1974	. 84
18.	State and Local Tax Collections by Source as Percentages of Total Collections, for SREB States, Regions and the United States, 1974	. 85
19.	Total Tax Revenues as a Percent of Personal Income and as a Percent of General Revenues, and Federal Aids as a Percent of General Revenues, for States, Regions, and the United States, 1974	
20.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, SREB States, 1974	. 88
21.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Alabama, 1974	. 89
22.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Arkansas,	. 90
23.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Florida, 1974	. 91
24.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Georgia, 1974	. 92
25.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Kentucky, 1974	. 93
26.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Louisiana,	. 94
27.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Maryland,	. 95
28.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Mississippi, 1974	. 96
29.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, North Carolina 1974	a, . 97
30.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, South Carolina 1974	a, . '98

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE	PAGE
31.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Tennessee, 1974
32.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Texas, 1974 100
33.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, Virginia, 1974
34.	Utilization of State and Local Tax Potential, West Virginia, 1974
35.	Federal Income Tax Returns, Percentages of Total Number, by Adjusted Gross Income Class, for States, Regions, and the United States, 1972
36.	State and Local Tax Capacity and Capacity Utilization, Per Capita and Per \$1000 of Personal Income, for States, Regions, and the United States, 1974
37.	Total Tax Collections, State and Local Governments, for States, Regions, and the United States, 1974 110



INTRODUCTION

For the eighth consecutive year, the Southern Regional Education Board is reporting on state and local tax performance. Statistical data and comparisons for the 50 states and the District of Columbia on state and local tax effort, (measured by utilized potential as reflected in collections, and unutilized potential as reflected by relating collections to a common tax base), have been compiled to serve as a guide to public officials who may wish to evaluate their jurisdictions' tax performances in the light of what neighboring jurisdictions are doing.

It is <u>not</u> the purpose of these reports or others in the series, nor is it the intent in any fashion, to suggest or recommend that public officials <u>ought</u> to search out sources of new revenue or that any particular jurisdiction should reform its revenue structure.

Neither is it the purpose or intent to suggest that a particular state should strive to achieve the averages in tax effort upon which the analysis and comparisons rest. Particular conditions in a state may dictate that a state employ one tax in lieu of another or that the state should exceed or fall short of the aggregate effort of all states. It is left to each public official to evaluate his jurisdiction's effort and needs in relation to its economic, political and social characteristics and then decide on a course of action. The worth of the report lies in its value as a reference and guide to aid officials in reaching the proper decisions in tax policy. Statistical tables contained in the report provide the background that is critical to public officials



wishing to alter tax policy in some form, while wishing to maintain tax effort and tax structures comparable and competitive with those in neighboring jurisdictions.

One of the most complex and volatile problems of public life today is that of financing state and local public services. In the years since 1967, when the Southern Regional Education Board (SREB) began publishing the annual survey of state and local revenues and potentials, the nation has struggled through international crises in the form of hot wars, cold wars and economic warfare. It has also endured recessions accompanied by high inflation and prosperity with both inflation and high unemployment. Every turn of events seems to bring new public demands and ultimately greater financing efforts. As tax revenues have continued their ever-upward climb, along with the ability of taxpayers to pay higher levies, so also the amount of unutilized potential has increased. The ability to raise additional tax revenue, however, is not evenly distributed.

Economic forces of the early 1970's and the introduction of revenue sharing in 1972 combined to provide most states with significant budget surpluses. By 1974 budget surpluses had largely disappeared. In 1975 one can expect the inflationary pressures to generate further budget shortfalls. The severity of the recession, now hopefully ended, combined with the prior inflation is now forcing at least two-fifths of the states and many local governments to take significant budget cutting or revenue raising actions. As new public budgets are prepared for 1976 and 1977,

^{1.} See "The Money Crunch for States and Cities," <u>The Nation's Business</u>, October 1975, pp. 22-28.



10

many will again be unbalanced unless expenditure programs are accompanied by substantial tax increases.

In 1974 and early 1975, expenditure cutbacks and delays in capital construction have been quite common. One major consequence of cutbacks and postponements will be an increase in unutilized potential. While cutbacks in some jurisdictions will undoubtedly be offset by increases in the more financially fortunate ones, the growth rate in state and local public expenditures will be reduced in 1975 and 1976. However, the growth rate will be sustained somewhat by a large number of tax increases (more than in 1972 and 1973) and by a lesser number of new tax exemptions and tax cutting measures.

In summary, it is expected that state and local governments will hold the line on expenditures and increase taxes in the near future. One consequence will be the stabilization of tax burdens in relation to personal income. The net result is likely to be a decline in the rate of growth in taxes, an increase in unutilized tax potential and a deterioration in the quality and quantity of critical public services in many areas.



METHODOLOGY

This is a tax effort study. Such studies are presumed to gauge the extent to which a jurisdiction taps its available taxable resources. An aggregate of total taxable resources or absolute tax capacity is not readily available, so proxies representing taxable resources are generally used in tax effort studies.

In this study tax effort is compared in two ways; the tax effort performance of each state is measured for the 14 most productive state tax sources, and the differences in performance are computed for each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Comparisons are possible because a standard proxy has been selected for each of several major tax sources.

A state's personal income is used as the proxy, or common denominator, for comparing tax effort in most tax categories. While not a perfect representative of tax capacity, personal income is an important determinant of expenditures on retail goods and services that make up the bulk of general and selective sales tax bases and the individual income tax base. Personal income is a satisfactory proxy only if the actual tax base and personal income can be related meaningfully and consistently. It is not fully satisfactory as a proxy for corporate or property taxes, but no better simple measure is readily available.

Other proxies are more suitable for measuring tax potential and effort in almost three cases. Some states rich in income are poor in natural resources. Therefore, the value of natural resources severed from the land and waters of the state is a more reliable indicator of tax capacity against which to measure effort. For motor vehicle related taxes



(sales taxes on motor fuels and license taxes on motor vehicles), a more suitable common denominator seems to be the number of registered motor vehicles.

In interstate comparisons, the basic statistical approach rests on the assumption that all states apply the average effort to collect revenue from each particular tax source. (However, as stated in another section, it is not always realistic to expect this.) Average effort is expressed as the average rate per \$1,000 of personal income, per \$1,000 of severed products, or per registered motor vehicle for states using the particular tax. Under this average effort concept, states applying less than average rates will find their actual collections are less than potential collections. States applying rates in excess of the averages will collect above-average amounts of revenue.

Constitutional or other state or local constraints found in some states on the taxation of otherwise available taxable resources are assumed away in this analysis on the theory that the economic resources constitute a reasonable and viable tax base if used productively in many other states. In some jurisdictions political or social policy decisions have eliminated a source in spite of its administrative and economic feasibility and its availability. While such constraints reduce the utilization of the tax base, they do not remove the potential for use as defined herein.

Since there are many variations in the use of each taxable resource -in the legal definitions of the tax, the tax base, the rates, and such -it is convenient to accept the Bureau of the Census broad tax categories
and collection data as reported in the various Census publications.



The Census gathers annually state and local tax amounts within a framework that groups taxes according to standard definitions. By using the standard Census compilations rather than diverse categories established at the state level, it is possible to make meaningful comparisons.

In the Census Bureau's annual publications, state tax collections are listed by tax source by state. Local property tax collections are listed by state, but local nonproperty taxes are listed by source as an aggregate figure for each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The totals were allocated to states by source with the help of sample data supplied by the Census Department. Local collections were added to state collections to compute state-local tax collections by source by state.

Finally, adjustment was made in two taxes on the assumption that net profits from public owned enterprises is a form of tax on the consumer of the products. Net profits from state and municipally owned liquor stores and public utilities were added to alcoholic beverage and public utility selective sales tax collections, respectively. Potential and unutilized potential collections as reported in this study were computed from the basic collection data.

In summary, the statistical calculations are achieved in three steps:

- (1) An average rate, based on the most relevant proxy, was computed for each tax for states using the particular source.
- (2) The average rate was multiplied by the proxy for each state to compute a potential tax collection amount.
 - (3) The actual state-local collection was subtracted from the



potential in order to generate an unutilized potential amount. However, if the actual was more than the potential, no unutilized potential existed and unutilized potential is reported as zero in the statistical tables.

SOURCES OF DATA

The basic statistical data needed to support this study were supplied by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. State tax collections were published in State Government Finances in 1974, GF74 No. 3. Aggregate local taxes (for the 50 states and the District of Columbia) by tax source were published in Governmental Finances in 1973-74, GF74 No. 5 and allocated to states on the basis of unpublished sample data graciously supplied by the Bureau. Data on finances of publicly owned liquor stores and public utilities were published in State Government Finances in 1974 and Governmental Finances in 1973-74, respectively. Data on personal income and population were reported in the Survey of Current Business (August 1975) and Current Population Reports, Series P-25, respectively.

Information on tax rates and tax changes enacted by the states in 1974 and 1975 came from published reports of the Commerce Clearing House, from the Federation of Tax Administrators, and from the states themselves.

^{2.} Special thanks for supplying data prior to publication and unpublished data that made our allocation efforts possible are extended to Maurice Criz and Vance Kane of the U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census.



THE STATISTICAL TABLES

The statistical portion of this report consists of a series of 12 basic tables (Tables 1-12), 25 analytical tables (Tables 13-37) and eight summary tables (Tables A-H); four other tables (Tables J-M) present tax rates currently applicable. The numbered tables are identical to tables presented in State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973. The summary tables present the same data as the lettered tables in the 1973 report, but are lettered differently. A number of comparative devices have been employed to assist the users of the report.

State and local taxes are compared in terms of both personal income and population. Both measures are useful and widely used, but for tax effort comparisons, income is perhaps the more appropriate. The primary argument in favor of the use of income is that taxes are ultimately paid out of current income. A second argument is that personal income seems to have a measurable relationship to most tax bases. As a measure of tax effort population is less useful because a population's capacity to pay taxes is not related to numbers, but depends on the level of its income.

The average level and the distribution of personal income, as well as the aggregate level, influence a population's tax capacity and the level of effort a state may be inclined to apply. Table 19 reflects, in addition to collections as a percentage of income (Column 1), an effort ranking which reflects per capita personal income levels (Column 4). In simple terms, this ranking shows that a tax effort equal to 10 percent of personal income is a greater burden if average income is low than if it is high.



In neither measure is the possibility of tax "exporting" or the differences in distribution of incomes among the taxpayers considered. In Table 35 the distribution of taxpayers paying federal personal income tax by state by adjusted gross income class is shown. Both tax productivity and tax burden distribution are influenced by income distributions. The tax burden is probably directly related to the percentage of taxpayers in the lower income classes. This is especially significant for regressive taxes and less significant for progressive taxes.

Tax effort or burden is also influenced by the dependence of a jurisdiction on revenues from nontax sources and on federal revenues (grants-in-aid and shared taxes). Some states, by choice, garner relatively greater amounts of revenue from nontax sources and some are able to exact relatively great amounts of federal funds. The states' efforts and abilities in these areas are listed in Table 19 (Columns 2 and 3). Results shown here may help explain state differences in tax efforts reflected in other tables.

Some public officials may want to compare underutilization of some tax sources with overutilization of other tax sources. Tables 20 through 34 list both the over and underutilization of taxes by major source for the SREB states and the net over or underutilization. Table 36 shows the net unutilized capacity (only) for all states and the District of Columbia. This table also presents the total of actual collections and net unutilized capacity as a measure of aggregate tax capacity. Additional columns in the table indicate the percent of capacity unutilized and the capacity and utilization per capita and per \$1,000 of personal income by state.

In general the greatest net underutilization is found in the SREB states



and in a few midwestern states while the greatest overutilization is found in the northeastern and far western states.

SOME GUIDES IN UTILIZING THE REPORT

In this study the measure of each tax potential is represented by a proxy (personal income, etc.). However, the relationship may not always be as close as desired. For example, the relationship of the property tax base and the corporate income tax base may vary from state to state and from time to time.

Some types of taxes are not uniformly available in all states.

Sometimes this is highlighted by the use of the proper proxy. For example, a few states with extensive extractive industries can use severance taxes productively; others, poor in natural resources, cannot. The differences show up in the proxy, the value of severed products.

A few states can tax the tourist industry extensively (Florida and California, especially) and the securities exchange business is largely concentrated in New York. These activities enhance revenues in the fortunate states. Finally, social aversion to participating in certain taxable activities (e.g., indisposition to consume alcoholic beverages or to participate in gambling activities) inhibit tax collections in some states, while in one state, Nevada which has gambling taxes, the disposition to participate in similar activities is vigorously exploited. These dispositions may not influence the proxies but may have substantial effect on the productivity of taxes.

While this is essentially an effort study, some attention is given to tax burdens and burden distribution. Data in Tables 19 and 35 offer



some assistance to the decision makers in assessing the gross tax burden in relation to total and per capita personal income and to the distribution of federal adjusted gross income.

The study seems to imply that each state should be able to maintain its current level of tax collections from sources for which the state's effort is average or above, and still increase collections from sources for which its efforts are below average. A reference to Tables 20-34 and 36 indicates that this is not necessarily so. Taxpayers paying high taxes of a particular type (e.g., consumption) would have their capacity to pay other taxes (e.g., income or property) seriously impaired. The overall level of taxation and the net over or under-utilization must be considered. In some states more than in others, taxpayers are contributing more to the public treasury relative to their ability to pay.

Finally, the distribution of personal income and the distribution of the tax load should be considered in tax policy. Burdens and efforts are especially onerous if the tax system is regressive and the personal income distribution is skewed toward the low income taxpayers. The burdens (in contrast to the impact) of business taxes must also enter into the assessment process. Some states find it relatively easy to levy taxes that can be largely "exported" to nonresidents. It may be difficult to justify a tax increase in states in which above average total effort is being made even if specific areas of below average effort are evident.

^{3.} A tax is regressive if the tax liability as a percentage of income increases as taxpayer income decreases. A tax is proportional if the percentage of income paid in taxes remains constant for all income levels. A tax is progressive if the percentage of income paid in taxes increases as income increases.



It is even more difficult to justify a tax increase if a large share of the tax burden falls on low income resident taxpayers.

Tax burdens may be related to the average personal income and to its distribution as well as to total personal income. An aggregate tax levy of 10 percent of personal income may not be as burdensome in states where per capita income is high and more taxpayers are in the upper income classes than in states which are less fortunate. However, the less fortunate and currently less tax burdened states in this respect may find it necessary to levy additional taxes in order to provide a reasonable possibility of providing adequate services to promote stable economic growth.

AN OVERVIEW, 1967 TO 1974

The statistics in Table A indicate that state and local tax collections in 1974 were up 116 percent over the 1967 level, increasing from \$61,429 million to \$132,501 million. Over the period, however, the growth rate has slowed. From 1967 to 1970, the growth averaged 14.7 percent; from 1970 to 1973, the average was 13.0 percent; and from 1973 to 1974, the growth was only 7.8 percent. Percentage increases seem to be related closely to the responsiveness of taxes to economic growth and overall productivity. The most responsive tax, the individual income tax, was the leader, followed by the corporation income taxes, general sales taxes, and property taxes. Indirect (business) taxes and direct taxes seem to have grown about equally, though no accurate evaluation of comparative growth is available.

Over the years 1967 to 1974, unutilized potential has increased for every major tax category. The overall growth of 112 percent, from \$11,645



STATE AND LOCAL TAX COLLECTIONS, 1967 - 1974, TOTAL AND BY MAJOR TAX SOURCE (In Millions)

•	Total	\$61,429	67,774	79,943	88,490	96,649	110,392	122,889	132,501		44.0	38.9	7.8
Motor	Vehicle License Taxes	\$2,299	2,432	2,622	2,904	3,137	3,334	3,635	3,755		26.3	25.3	3.3
	Motor Fuels Taxes	\$4,856	5,197	5,661	6,318	9,665	7,272	8,123	8,278		30.1	28.6	1.9
Income Taxes	Corporation	\$2,227	2,518	3,180	3,756	3,420	4,401	5,449	6,040		68.7	45.1	10.8
Incom	Individual	\$ 5,775	7,239	8,883	10,795	11,872	15,234	17,970	19,466		86.9	9.99	8.3
	General Property Taxes	\$26,186	27,637	30,553	34,083	37,852	42,132	45,288	47,759		30.2	32.9	5.5
Selective	Sales and Gross Receipts Taxes*	\$ 5,017	5,637	6,245	8,989	9,929	10,971	12,129	12,638		17.9	34.9*	4.2
	General Sales and Gross Receipts Taxes	\$10,104	11,599	. 13,985	16,078	17,795	20,292	22,992	26,314	rowth	59.1	43.0	14.4
		1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	Percentage Growth	1967 - 1970	1970 - 1973	1973 - 1974

Note: From 1970 onward, the District of Columbia statistics were included.

* Through 1969 nonallocable selective sales and gross receipts taxes were entered as "other and unallocable taxes;" in 1970 and afterwards, as "other sales and gross receipts taxes."

Statistical Supplement to State and Local Revenue Tables 1-11; Kenneth E. Quindry and Richard A. Engels, State and Local Revenue Potential, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1970, Tables 1-11; State and Local Revenue Potential, Kenneth E. Quindry, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1967 (Atlanta: Southern Regional Tables 1-11; Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, State and Local Revenue Potential Education Board, 1969), Tables Al-AlO; Statistical Supplement to State and Local Rev Potential, 1968, Tables 1-10; State and Local Revenue Potential, 1969, Tables Al-AlO; Tables 1-11; and Tables 1-11 this report. Source:

13

TABLE B

STATE AND LOCAL UNUTILIZED TAX POTENTIAL, 1967 - 1974, TOTAL AND BY MAJOR TAX SOURCE (In Thousands)

	•	Selective		Incom	Income Taxes		Motor	
	General Sales and Gross	Gros	General Property			Motor Fuels	Vehicle License	
	Receipts Taxes	Taxes*	Taxes	Individual	Corporation	Taxes	Taxes	Total
1967	\$1,983,180	\$1,109,417	\$2,860,768	\$3,256,216	\$1,291,226	\$274,941	\$298,021	\$11,645,328
1968	1,933,786	1,077,432	3,128,494	3,675,529	1,246,279	, 203,424	303,263	12,174,516
1969	2,286,415	1,288,867	3,520,495	4,508,307	1,425,781	215,289	335,499	14,229,868
1970	2,090,690	1,576,243	4,014,918	4,938,105	1,430,047	263,937	360,064	15,841,021
1971	2,174,041	1,727,522	4,822,554	5,064,620	1,263,175	336,325	386,033	17,113,637
1972	2,537,782	2,320,483	5,623,319	5,937,513	1,277,965	397,739	528,937	19,735,010
1973	2,971,318	2,585,656	6,371,693	7,381,013	1,445,979	460,009	504,870	22,993,840
1974	5,595,912	2,665,068	6,692,065	7,962,651	1,501,252	525,001	486,260	24,644,544
Percentage Growth	owth							
1967 - 1970	5. Z	42.1	40.3	51.7	10.8	-4.0	20.8	36.0
1970 - 1973	42.1	64.0	58.7	49.5	11.1	74.3	40.2	45.2
1973 - 1974	14.2	3.1	5.0	7.9	-3.8	14.1	-3.7	7.2
* Does not in	Does not include "other a	selective sales taxes" for years 1967 to 1971. Excluding "other selective sales	axes" for ye	ars 1967 to	1971. Exclud	ing "other	selective	sales

Growth rates Does not include Torner selective sales takes. For years 1907, to 1971. Excluding Tourer selectaces," figures for 1972 and 1973 are \$1,822,196 and \$1,997,439 (in thousands) respectively. then would be 64.3 percent and 80.0 percent.

Table A-11; State and Local Revenue Potential, 1971, Table 12; Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, Kenneth E. Quindry, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1967 (Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1969). Tables A-2 - A-9; Statistical Supplement to State and Local Revenue Potential, 1968, Table 12; State and Local Revenue Potential, 1972, Table 12; Kenneth E. Qui State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973, Table 12; and Table 12 this report. Table 16; State and Local Revenue Potential, 1969, Source:



million in 1967 to \$24,645 million in 1974, centers mostly in three tax categories, one related directly and positively to personal income—the individual income tax—and one to sumptuary taxes—the selective sales taxes. The third one was the major local (property) tax for which no alternative source was available to finance growing local expenditure—demands. In 1974, the unutilized potential for corporation income taxes was reduced due to high corporation profits in 1973. The fuel shortages and higher prices that restricted the number of vehicles led to a substantial growth in motor vehicle fuel taxes' unutilized potential. The growing general sales tax exemptions were perhaps responsible for the substantial increase in unutilized potential for the general sales taxes.

AN OVERVIEW OF 1973 AND 1974

Personal income in the 50 states and the District of Columbia increased by 5.8 percent in 1974 over 1973 while state and local taxes as measured in this report increased by 7.8 percent. This implies a statistically insignificant change in taxes as a percentage of income. In general, states in the East and West were most successful in reducing tax effort as measured by personal income. Ten of 12 North Central states increased efforts while the SREB and Mountain states were fairly evenly divided between those increasing or not reducing efforts and those decreasing their state-local tax effort.

In 1974 states utilized 95.6 percent of their capacity as opposed to 95.8 percent in 1973. In per capita terms, tax capacity in 1974 equalled \$656, leaving \$29 unutilized. Respective figures for 1973 were \$611 and \$26. Capacity per \$1,000 of personal income was \$121 in both



1973 and 1974. However, utilization decreased from \$116 in 1973 to \$115 in 1974.

Percentage growth in the general sales taxes for both collections and unutilized potential are indicated by data in Tables A and B. Motor vehicle fuels taxes showed the least revenue growth and were almost equal in unutilized potential growth, possibly due to fewer miles traveled by the average automobile. Overall growth in collections and potential was substantially equal, 7.8 percent to 7.2 percent, respectively.

TAX COLLECTIONS IN FISCAL 1974

State and local tax collections and related unutilized potential collections by state and by source along with comparative tax efforts are reported in 37 statistical tables. In this section major sources are summarized by geographic region in eight letter-designated tables. The reader can refer to the tables headed with numbers for specific state data. In some cases, normal annual collections are inflated by windfall amounts. In others tax rates or base changes may have increased or reduced collections for only a portion of the year. No attempt is made to note all such instances.

Tax Collections and Unutilized Potential

State and local tax collections increased to \$132,501 million in 1974, from \$122,889 million in 1973. The 7.8 percent growth was substantially below the 11.3 percent registered from 1972 to 1973. The increases by type of tax are listed in Table A and by geographic region in Table C. Rate and base changes were of relatively minor influence in increasing taxes, but of some influence in reducing taxes in a few states during



TABLE C

TAX COLLECTIONS AND UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL BY REGION, 1973 AND 1974, WITH PERCENTAGE CHANGE

Unutilized Potential (amounts in millions)	Percentage Change	\$ 1,561 16.5	3,280 18.8	5,386	9,847 3.2	1,604 7.7	2,856 18.1	111 48.0	\$24,645 7.2
Unutiliz (amounts	-							85	
	1973	\$ 1,340	2,762	5,386	9,524	1,489	2,419	K	\$22,994
ons 11ions)	Percentage Change	. 4.5	5.8	8.7	10.6	9.7	6.1	8.6	7.8
Tax Collections (amounts in millions)	1974	\$ 8,502	29,937	35,451	30,941	6,614	20,512	544	\$132,501
	1973	\$ 8,133	28,298	32,627	27,966	6,029	19,336	501	\$122,889
	Region	New England States	Middle Atlantic States	North Central States	SREB States	Mountain States	Pacific States	District of Columbia	TOTAL

Note: Parts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973, (Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1974), Tables 11 and 12; and Tables 11 and 12 this report. Source:

17

the year. Relative growth by source reflected largely the degree of responsiveness to economic growth. Motor vehicle related taxes were also affected by the fuel shortages. Corporation income taxes reflected the continued favorable corporate profits figure through the first half of 1974.

Property tax growth was no doubt limited by the introduction of federal revenue sharing. Growth in tax collections in excess of the 7.8 percent national average was registered in three geographic regions, the Southern Regional Education Board states, the Mountain states, and the North Central states. These regions reacted less to reduce taxes in the face of pending surpluses, and they depended more on consumption and business taxes which performed well during the year.

Unutilized tax potential increased less rapidly than did collections. As expected unutilized potential growth was least in regions where tax increases were greatest. Thus, the North Central states, the Southern Regional Education Board states and the Mountain states lagged behind the national average while the remaining regions exceeded it. In total, the unutilized potential increased to \$24,645 million from \$22,994 million, an increase of 7.2 percent. Three major sources accounted for 70.1 percent of collections and 73.2 percent of the unutilized potential in 1974. They were the general sales and gross receipts taxes, the property taxes and the individual income taxes.

In the following pages, the performance of the states in employing the major tax sources is examined individually.



The General Sales and Use Taxes (Tables 1 and 13)

Whether a state garners revenue in excess of or below the national average as computed by use of a proxy base is determined primarily by the rate level, the extent of the base and the vigor of the administration. State rates for general sales taxes vary from 2.0 percent to 7.0 percent. Also, 26 states permit the use of additional local rates. Widespread use of these rates is found in Alabama, California, Illinois, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee and Texas. In several other states, major counties or cities demonstrate heavy dependence on this source. In a few states, the local rates are almost universal, and Alaska has only a local rate. In many states, major items related to manufacturing, pollution control and agriculture are subject to special lower rates.

While tax bases for the general sales taxes are complex, a casual examination can isolate major items of exclusion or inclusion which are major determinants of productivity. Such items include the extent of taxation of food, drugs and utilities; the extent of taxation of the sale of services; and the extent of taxation of items utilized in business activity. Motor vehicles are also given special treatment in a few cases. A few states tax gasoline under both the general sales taxes and the selective gasoline sales taxes. Four states (Delaware, Montana, Oregon and New Hampshire) continued to finance their governments without resorting to general sales taxation.

General sales tax revenues increased to \$26,314 million from \$22,992 million in 1973, a 14.4 percent increase, while unutilized potential grew to \$3,394 million, an almost identical percentage growth (14.2 percent).



TABLE D

STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL SALES TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL BY REGION, 1973 AND 1974, WITH PERCENÇAGE CHANGE

Unutilized Potential (amounts in millions)	ge Percentage 1973 1974 Change	\$ 555 \$ 673 21.3	555 771 38.9	952 961 0.9	471 477 1.3	.160 174 8.8	271 318 17.3	8 19 137.5	\$2,971 \$3,394 14.2
lons illions)	Percentage Change	3.5	8.7	17.5	14.7	15.7	18.8	10.3	14.4
Tax Collections (amounts in millions)	1974	696 \$	4,988	6,798	7,467	1,530	4,455	107	\$26,314
3)	1973	\$ 936	4,589	5,785	6,512	1,322	3,751	26	\$22,992
	Region	New England States	Middle Atlantic States	North Central States	SREB States	Mountain States	Pacific States	District of Columbia	TOTAL

Note: Parts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973, (Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1974), Table 1; and Table 1 this report. Source:



The New England states continued to be relatively light users of this source (See Table D). The areas and states making substantial collection gains reflected slowly rising unutilized potential amounts with the exception of the Pacific states. In this case growth in sales tax capacity permitted growth in both areas.

Data in Table D indicate that the western part of the United States employs general sales taxes rather extensively. The Middle Atlantic states of New York and Pennsylvania use the source intensively at both the state and local levels. With the exception of Maryland and Virginia, the SREB states also make widespread use of the source. In total, the data of Table 1 indicate that 22 states overutilize the source to some degree, eight of which are SREB states. For states using the tax, collections per \$1,000 of personal income increased to \$23.38 in 1974 from \$22.18 in 1973.

The Selective Sales Taxes (Tables 2 and 14)

Collection data and unutilized potential collections for four major selective sales taxes and "all other" selective sales taxes are presented in Table 2 and analyzed and summarized in Tables 14 and E. In 1974, collections amounted to \$12,638 million, an increase of 4.2 percent over 1973 collections. In every category, tax collections per \$1,000 of personal income were reduced in 1974. The result was a nominal growth in unutilized potential in spite of only a few rate increases.

Net profits of publicly owned utilities and liquor stores are defined as taxes and included in the measure of taxes in this report. States garnering such revenues which can be included conceivably in governmental general revenues are listed in the notes to Table 2.



TABLE E

STATE AND LOCAL SELECTIVE SALES TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL BY REGION, 1973 AND 1974, WITH PERCENTAGE CHANGE

otential millions)	Percentage Change	8.1	8.8	2.3	6.5-	8.0-	6.4	12.5	3.1
Unutilized Potential (amounts in millions)	1974	\$ 187	394	1,009	381	119	265	6	\$2,665
	1973	\$ 173	362	986	405	120	531	∞	\$2,586
ons 111ions)	Percentage Change	3.1	6.0	3.1	. S. S	7.1	7.6	3.3	4.2
Tax Collections (amounts in millions)	1974	\$ 759	2,407	2,860	4,374	713	1,461	63	\$12,638
	1973	\$ 736	2,386	2,775	4,146	999	1,358	61	\$12,128
·	Region	New England States	Middle Atlantic States	North Central States	SREB States	Mountain States	Pacific States	District of Columbia	TOTAL

Note: Parts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973 (Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1974), Tables 2 and 12; and Tables 2 and 12 this report. Source:



Alcoholic beverage, cigarette, and insurance premiums sales taxes are reported in every state and the District of Columbia. One state (Massachusetts) did not report revenue from public utility taxes or profits. In general, productivity of these taxes depends heavily on the level of the rates. In about one-third of the states sale of tobacco products other than cigarettes are taxed.

Because of continued low cigarette tax rates in North Carolina (2 cents), Kentucky (3 cents) and Virginia (2.5 cents), the SREB states continued to rank low in tobacco tax collections. Per capita collections and unutilized potential increased from 1973 to 1974, collections from \$57.80 to \$59.78 and unutilized potential from \$12.32 to \$12.61. However, collections per \$1,000 of personal income were reduced to \$11 from \$11.47; in 1973 unutilized potential per \$1,000 of personal income fell also, to \$2.32 from \$2.44.

Overall, the SREB states continued to demonstrate the most intensive use of selective sales taxes. These states reduced appreciably their unutilized potential in 1974.

Death and Gift Taxes (Table 3)

In all states (except Nevada which does not employ this tax source) the tax rates are graduated and separate rate schedules are used for heirs, depending on their relationship with the deceased or donor.

Some states levy a death tax solely for purposes of recovering the federal tax credit--the so-called "pick-up" tax. Other states employ an additional estate or inheritance tax or both. Sixteen states levy a gift



tax. Five states (Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, Florida and Georgia) use the "pick-up" tax only, two states (South Dakota and West Virginia) use an inheritance tax only; two states (Oregon and Rhode Island) employ all three types of levy; two states (Mississippi and North Dakota) use an estate tax only; seven states (Arizona, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Utah and Vermont) use an estate and "pick-up" tax.

Over one-third of the taxes were collected in the New England and Middle Atlantic states in which no unutilized potential is evident.

Another two-fifths of the taxes were collected in the North Central and the SREB states in which 90 percent of the unutilized potential was found. Taxes per \$1,000 of personal income declined to \$1.25 in 1974 from \$1.36 in 1973.

The Property Taxes (Tables 4 and 15)

The Northeastern states continued to use the property tax more intensively than any other area in 1974, and it was the only region in which no unutilized potential existed. On the other hand, the SREB states demonstrated the least intensive use and the greatest unutilized potential.

No SREB state achieved its potential, and four states collected less than half the amount suggested by the use of the average national rate.

In spite of the poor showing, the SREB growth rate was impressive, thus minimizing the increase in unutilized potential. The increase in unutilized potential was striking in the Middle Atlantic and Mountain

^{4.} California, Colorado, Delaware, Louisiana, Minnesota, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Vermont, Washington and Wisconsin.



TABLE F

STATE AND LOCAL PROPERTY TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL BY REGION, 1973 AND 1974, WITH PERCENTAGE CHANGE

	ਲ) 	Tax Collections (amounts in millions)	ns lions)	Unu (an	Unutilized Potential (amounts in millions	ential 11ions)
Region	1973	1974	Percentage Change	1973	1974	Percentage Change
New England States	\$ 3,923	\$ 4,132	5.3	! - €9÷	<u> </u>	!
Middle Atlantic States	10,298	10,885	5.7	802	959	19.6
CO North Central States	12,884	13,718	6.5	647	929	4.5
SREB States	7,432	8,110	9.1	4,293	4,343	1.2
Mountain States	2,038	2,124	4.2	366	443	21.0
Pacific States	8,564	8,641	0.9	209	196	-6.2
District of Columbia	148	150	1.4	55	75	36.4
TOTAL	\$45,288	\$47,760	5.5	\$6,372	\$6,692	4.9

Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973 (Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1974), Table 4; and Table 4 this report. Source:

states where collections grew only moderately (Table F). Between 1973 and 1974 per capita collections in the nation increased from \$216 to \$226 and per capita unutilized potential from \$30.36 to \$31.66. On the other hand, collections per \$1,000 of personal income fell by approximately \$1.00 and unutilized potential, a few cents.

While property taxes remained the most important source of state and local revenue, the percentage of taxes garnered from this source declined from 36.9 in 1973 to 36.0 in 1974. Even some of the moderate growth in collections was illusory. The aggregate collections as reported may be reduced by property tax relief that in many states appears as an expenditure item or as a credit against another tax rather than as a reduction in property taxes. All 50 states and the District of Columbia have some form of property tax relief aimed specifically toward the aged, disabled, or low income; in two-thirds of the states, it is granted at the state level.

Because of these complex procedures in collections and relief, it is difficult to compare accurately the tax effort from state to state or from region to region. However, there is little doubt that a regional difference in effort does occur.

The Individual Income Taxes (Tables 5 and 16)

Practices in the use of individual income taxes did not change much from 1973 to 1974 except that a few states, California in particular, reduced rates or provided new and increased exemptions because of pending budget surpluses. Six states (Florida, Nevada, South Dakota, Texas, Washington, and Wyoming) still did not employ the tax, and four states



TABLE G

STATE AND LOCAL INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL BY REGION, 1973 AND 1974, WITH PERCENTAGE CHANGE

a1 ns)	Percentage Change	16.3	8.5	-9.2	7.5 ~	-6.3	43.8	!	7.9
Unutilized Potential (amounts in millions)	Per 1974 (\$ 564	931	1,466	3,353	429	1,219	;	\$7,963
Unut (ame	1973	\$ 485	828	1,614	3,118	458	848	-	\$7,381
ıs Lions)	Percentage Change	7.5	4.5	12.7	12.5	20.2	-0.4	10.4	8.3
Tax Collections (amounts in millions)	1974	\$ 1,164	5,938	5,828	3,233	808	2,356	138	\$19,466
Tax (amou	1973	\$ 1,083	5,681	5,171	2,873	672	2,365	125	\$17,970
•	Region	New England States	رى Middle Atlantic States	North Central States	SREB States	Mountain States	Pacific States	District of Columbia	TOTAL
			3	5					

Note: Parts may not add to totals due to rounding.

Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973 (Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1974), Table 5; and Table 5 this report. Source:



(Connecticut, New Hampshire, New Jersey and Tennessee) used it sparingly. The remaining 40 states have broad-based income taxes but the intensity of imposition varies considerably. In addition to the state rate, ten states (Delaware, New York, Pennsylvania, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Alabama, Kentucky, Maryland and California) permit a local levy in on or more jurisdictions. Thirty-five states employ progressive rate structures and five (Illinois, Indiana, Nebraska, Ohio and Pennsylvania) employ flat rates.

The two major controllable influences on tax productivity are the tax rate structures and deduction and exemption policies. An examination of these factors will generally provide the key to productivity levels.

Productivity, however, may be influenced by the distribution of taxable income. If a high proportion of the taxpayers is in low income classes, other things being equal, productivity will be low; moreover, a great number of low income families may restrict potential use of the source. Conversely, a great percentage of taxpayers in the middle and upper income classes signifies that the tax will be productive. The distribution of taxpayers for federal income tax purposes by adjusted gross income class in 1972 is shown in Table 35.

The SREB states made less use of the individual income tax in 1974 than other regions, primarily because Florida and Texas failed to use it, and Tennessee used it only sparingly. Exemption policies in Louisiana and Mississippi restricted the productivity in those states. Only Maryland collected above average amounts of revenue from this source.

Collections increased in 1974 in every geographic region except the Pacific region. California reduced its tax effort by instituting sub-



stantial tax credits which resulted in a gain in unutilized potential for the Pacific region. On the other hand, two regions (the North Central and the Mountain states) show reduced underutilization and substantial revenue growth.

For the nation, collections reached \$19,466 million in 1974, up from \$17,970 in 1973 (8.3 percent increase). Unutilized potential reached \$7,963 million, an increase of 7.9 percent in 1974.

The Corporate Income Taxes (Tables 5 and 17)

Following two years of growth in excess of 20 percent, corporate tax revenue growth slowed to one-half that amount (10.8 percent). The unutilized annual potential growth rate was 3.8 percent in 1974 as opposed to 13.1 percent in 1973 (Tables H and 17). Collections in 1974 amounted to \$6,040 million while unutilized potential was \$1,501 million.

Per capita collections increased to \$28.57 in 1974 while collections per \$1,000 of personal income reached \$5.26 (Table 17); both figures were slightly larger than those reported in 1973. A similar small rise in unutilized potential is evident in per capita terms while a small reduction in the amount per \$1,000 of personal income is seen.

In analyzing state performance, the same factors which may be dominant in determining productivity for individual income taxes should be examined for corporate income taxes. Productivity is influenced by the comprehensiveness of the gross base, the deduction policies and the tax rate, as well as the extent of tax credits.

Tax bases and deduction policies are being standardized along federal lines so that differences in these areas are minimized. Thus, the relative



TABLE H

STATE AND LOCAL CORPORATE INCOME TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL BY REGION, 1973 AND 1974, WITH PERCENTAGE CHANGE

	(an	Tax Collections (amounts in millions)	ns lions)	Unu (am	Unutilized Potential (amounts in millions)	ential 11ions)
Region	1973	1974	Percentage Change	1973	1974	Percentage Change
New England States	\$ 466	\$ 503	7.9	\$ 17	\$ 17	;
Midddle Atlantic States	1,561	1,636	4.8	89	89	;
North Central States	1,267	1,361	7.4	577	573	-0.7
SREB States	1,009	1,149	. 13.9	539	584	8.3
Mountain States	185	208	12.4	118	128	8.5
Pacific States	937	1,158	23.6	123	126	2.4
District of Columbia	23	. 25	8.7	м	9	100.0
TOTAL	\$ 5,449	\$ 6,040	10.8	\$1,446	\$1,501	3.8

Note: Parts may not add to total due to rounding.

Kenneth E. Quindry and Carol S. Meyers, State and Local Revenue Potential, 1973 (Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1974), Table 5; and Table 5 this report. Source:



rate is the primary significant factor in relative productivity.

In three of the six geographic regions, the Pacific states, the SREB states, and the Mountain states, revenue productivity and unutilized potential both exceeded the national average increase of 10.8 percent and 3.8 percent respectively. In two regions the unutilized potential remained unchanged in 1974 and in one it was reduced. The Mountain and the SREB states performed well in corporate income tax collections in spite of the fact that one SREB state (Texas) and two Mountain states (Nevada and Wyoming) do not employ the tax. Washington state also did not use the tax in 1974.

Licenses and Motor Fuels Taxes (Tables 6, 7, and 8)

Tax performance for two major license taxes (alcoholic beverage licenses in Table 6 and motor vehicle licenses in Table 7) and motor vehicle fuels taxes (Table 8) are discussed in this section. Other license taxes are listed in Table 11. Motor fuels taxes are discussed along with vehicle license taxes (rather than with selective sales taxes in Table 2) because both vehicle related taxes are usually earmarked for highway construction and maintenance. With one exception, all states and the District of Columbia employ all three sources.

Alcoholic Beverage Licenses (Table 6)

License taxes are placed by the states on sellers, handlers, and producers of alcoholic beverage products in every state except Hawaii. Localities in most states also collect revenue from this source, but disaggregated local collections data are unavailable. Local collections are included in "other and unallocable taxes" in Table 11.



The fact that publicly owned liquor stores are not subject to license fees in all cases may affect productivity in a number of states. In several states pockets of prohibition and abstinence restrict collections. In a few states special tax concessions are granted to local producers.

Revenues from this source grew to \$142.9 million in 1974. This was almost one percent above 1973 collections. Unutilized potential declined to \$42.9 million from \$44.1 million in 1973. Aggregate capacity for use of this source in both the New England states and the Western states was relatively minimal. Consequently, almost 75 percent of the collections and 80 percent of the unutilized potential were located in the Middle Atlantic states, the North Central states, and the SREB states.

Motor Vehicle Related Taxes (Tables 7 and 8)

The motor vehicle related taxes discussed in this section are of two types--the license taxes and the fuels taxes. The license taxes may be a flat fee per vehicle, or a graduated fee based on weight or horse-power. In the case of trucks it may be related to miles driven in the state. The fuels tax is a gallonage tax based on sales of gasoline or other fuels used to operate motor vehicles on public highways. Minor evenues may come from the sale of fuels for use in boats and airplanes.

Motor vehicle related taxes are reported in Tables 7 and 8 and compared according to revenue per registered vehicle. The widespread dependence on motor vehicles for transportation and the fact that the taxes are related to numbers and use suggest that the tax collections are related more to numbers than to the personal income of the population.



An average collection per registered vehicle is the common denominator used. All states and the District of Columbia use these tax sources.

While several explanations for differences in productivity of these taxes may be offered, the primary reason is the difference in tax rates. This may be explained by the fact that some states depend more heavily than others on motor vehicle use revenue to support their highway programs. Minor differences result from the varying ratios of automobiles to trucks and buses. In several states motor vehicles are subjected to significant property taxes, and fuels to general sales taxes. In at least 21 states (10 in the SREB region and six in the North Central Region), local license or inspection fees are permitted. Use of local motor fuels taxes is less common.

Revenue from license taxes increased to \$3,755 million in 1974 from \$3,635 million in 1973 (3.3 percent). The higher collections were rather evenly spread by geographic regions. On the other hand, unutilized potential was reduced to \$486 million in 1974 from \$505 million in 1973. Unutilized potential was less in the SREB states, the Mountain states and the Pacific states, more in the North Central states and substantially unchanged elsewhere.

Changes in motor fuels tax collections seem to vary from 1973 to 1974 depending on the seriousness of the fuel shortage. Three of the nation's favorably situated areas found collections advancing and three less favorably situated found collections receding. In all cases the movement was slight. For the nation the collections increased a minimal 1.9 percent. Unutilized potential increased to \$525 million from \$460 million.



Severance Taxes (Table 9)

Severance taxes are imposed on the value of natural resources as they are severed from the land or water. They can be used productively only in states having significant amounts of commercial extractive activities. The most productive taxes are applied in states having high concentrations of petroleum and gas. In a few states coal production has or can become a significant tax activity. Production of timber, fish, shellfish, salt, stone, sand, gravel, iron ore and other minerals is also taxed, but frequently the tax is regulatory and not expected to be a major revenue producer.

Because only a few states have significant commercial extractive industries, the value of severed products is considered to be the proper measure of tax potential. The common measure is collections per \$1,000 of severed products.

Louisiana, Texas and Oklahoma are unique in their ability to exploit oil and gas severance taxes. Other oil producing states use their potential less intensively. Kentucky has made use of its potential in coal severance in the last two years, while Tennessee has a negligible coal severance tax for local highway use. West Virginia employs a gross receipts tax on coal production that is reported in the general sales tax figures, and Minnesota levies a reasonable iron ore severance tax.

Over half of the value of severed products is found in the SREB states, one-third in Texas and Louisiana. Because these states employ the tax intensively, the unutilized potential is not concentrated in the SREB states. Nation-wide collections increased to \$1,255 million in 1974 from \$850 million in 1973. The substantial increase is likely to



be repeated in the next few years as states search for new and underused tax sources to supplement the major sources.

Transfer Taxes (Table 10)

Transfer taxes are levied on transfers of real estate and on transfers of nontangible wealth (mortgages, stocks, bonds). In some states the coverage is restricted to real estate, while in others the most productive source is stock transfers. Productivity is affected first by the coverage and second by the rate levels.

New York is in an especially favorable position to garner revenue from a documentary transfer tax. Over 42 percent of the total tax reported for states in 1974 was collected in that state.

Data in Table 10, however, are not fully representative of the extent of this tax. Some states permit local governments to levy the tax. Local data are not available on a state basis and are included in "other and unallocable" taxes in Table 11.

Transfer taxes decreased to \$497 million in 1974, a reduction of almost 14 percent from 1973 figures. Unutilized potential was substantially unchanged, declining by \$26 million to \$413 million.

Miscellaneous, Other and Unallocable Taxes, Total Taxes (Table 11)

Two other tax sources, parimutuel and lottery taxes, are used productively in a few states. Parimutuel taxes are used reasonably efficiently in a few states in which the traditions of horse and dog racing are strong. The attraction of the states for tourism also benefits tax collections. In other states, legal prohibitions still are in effect.



36

New York has extended wagering to off-track betting. Parimutuel collections are listed in Column 1 of Table 11.

State lotteries are being used in about one-fifth of the states and others are seriously considering their adoption. As with parimutuel betting, legal prohibitions and lack of social acceptance still exist in many states.

As with severance taxes, these two sources are relatively underused in many states and because of this, many states will be examining the possibilities seriously in the next few years.



MAJOR TAX ACTIONS IN 1974

In 1974 there were few major tax measures. The economic slowdown had not affected the tax picture at that time, and tax breaks outnumbered tax increases.

Only two states increased the general sales and use tax rates.

Arizona changed its rate from 3.0 to 4.0 percent, and California's rate increased from 3.75 to 4.75 percent (this had been legislated in 1972). In addition, New York City temporarily raised its rate, and Virginia and South Dakota broadened their sales tax bases. On the other hand, Connecticut reduced its general rate from 6.5 percent to 6.0 percent, and many states enacted exemptions. These exemptions were particularly in the areas of drugs and medical supplies, and special treatment of items for the elderly (Idaho, Illinois, Indiana and Wyoming) and poor (Colorado and Nebraska).

In 1974 Illinois authorized municipal and county use taxes not to exceed 1.0 percent. Taxes for public transit existed in California and were enacted (upon referendum) in Ohio, Utah and Washington.

No state raised individual income taxes in 1974, and decreases were effected in New Mexico, Nebraska, Pennsylvania and New Jersey (applicable to Pennsylvania commuters only). Among the states raising personal exemptions or deductions were Ohio, Montana and Iowa, and various other states extended credits and deductions in specific areas, particularly to the elderly.

The corporate income tax rate was increased in Arizona in all tax brackets, and Vermont graduated its rates which shifted the burden and effectively raised the amount garnered from this tax. Rates were lowered



in Nebraska and Pennsylvania, and selective reli€f was granted in a few other states.

Property tax action was chiefly in the area of relief for the elderly, the poor and the disabled with at least 19 states enacting such legislation. Realty tax deferral measures were initiated in Massachusetts and the District of Columbia, again benefiting the lower income and elderly homeowners. Other prevalent realty tax changes included new assessment ratios for different classes of property, and use value rather than market value as the basis for evaluation of argicultural and open space land.

Increases in the cigarette tax rate were enacted in 1974 in Arizona and Maine, while Rhode Island exempted all tobacco products except cigarettes. Arizona and Montana increased the alcoholic beverage tax rates. In contrast to 1975, motor fuels taxes were increased in only two states, Arizona and Pennsylvania, while Delaware continued its increased rate. Current (1975) rates applicable in all states are shown in Tables J through M.

MAJOR TAX ACTIONS IN 1975

Tax actions in 1975 have centered on motor fuel taxes and adjustments in exemptions in other taxes. Because of surpluses in funds in fiscal 1973 and 1974 some state legislatures extended exemptions in specific tax areas. A number of states removed the sales tax on food and prescription drugs or enacted income tax credit for sales taxes paid on such items as medicine and medical and dental services. Circuit breaker clauses and homestead exemptions for senior citizens and the disabled were enacted in various property tax modifications in several states,



and property tax incentives for solar heating systems were enacted by seven states in 1975. Several states have tried to more equitably distribute the burden of personal income tax by making adjustments favoring the low income bracket. With money now becoming much tighter, it is unlikely that much tax relief will be enacted in the upcoming legislative sessions.

On the other hand, motor fuel tax rate increases have been passed by seven legislatures, and several states have raised corporation income tax rates. Increases have been enacted also in alcoholic beverage tax rates in a few states as well as in some other minor taxes.

General Sales Taxes

. Sales taxes are currently in effect in 45 states and the District of Columbia. Of these, 21 states exempt food consumed off the premises of the seller, and the District of Columbia imposes a lowered rate on food. Prescription drugs are exempted by 33 states and the District of Columbia, with two others (Alabama and South Carolina) making concessions for the elderly. Thus, the regressive effects of the sales tax have been reduced, with low income families who are burdened by the rising cost of food and drugs benefiting by a smaller proportion of their income going to sales taxes. The states with exemptions and tax credits in effect in 1975 are shown in Table J.

In addition to food and drug exemptions, many minor areas have received special attention in the guise of tax equity, which in reality has resulted from pressure group activities. Examples of special exemptions are sales tax exemptions for leased vessels used in the production





of minerals (Louisiana) and farm chemicals (Illinois).

The Arkansas legislature authorized the imposition of a sales and use tax on retail sales in cities with a population of more than 30,000 which are located in a county with boundaries adjoining some other state; within 30 days after adoption of the tax an election must be held on the question of whether the tax should be imposed. Washington authorized additional local sales and use taxes to finance public transportation.

New York City has extended its sales tax rate of 4 percent through June 30, 1976, after which it will drop to 3 percent. New York City also imposes the sales tax on many services, and Connecticut extended its sales tax to certain services.

Sales tax rates range between a high of 7 percent in Connecticut and a low of 2 percent in Oklahoma, and the median rate for all states is 4 percent. Rates in effect in all states are shown in Table K. In the SREB states, Kentucky and Mississippi are high with 5 percent rates, while six states (Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, Virginia and West Virginia) have low rates of 3 percent.

Individual and Corporate Income Taxes

Individual income tax rates were raised in three states and adjusted in some others in 1975. Michigan increased its rate on individuals, estates and trusts from 3.9 percent to 4.6 percent of taxable income effective May 1, 1975; this rate is scheduled to drop to 4.4 percent on July 1, 1977. Rhode Island increased its income tax rate from 15 percent to 17 percent of federal income tax liability effective with the 1975 taxable year. Nebraska's income tax rate was raised from 10 percent to



TABLE J
STATE SALES TAXES

Food and Drug Exemptions And Related Income Tax Credits (May 1, 1975)

	I	Exemptions	Related
State	Food	Prescription Drugs	Income Tax Credit
	1000		010010
Alabama		a	
Arizona		· X	
Arkansas			
California	X	X	
Colorado		Χ	X
Connecticut	X	X	
Florida	X	X	
Georgia			
Hawaii	9		Х
Idaho		Χ	X
Illinois			
Indiana	Χ	X	
Iowa	Х	Χ	
Kansas			
Kentucky	X	Χ .	
Louisiana	X	X	
Maine	X	X	
Maryland	X	X	
Massachusetts	X	X	X
Michigan	X	X	••
Minnesota	X	X	
Mississippi	X	X	
Missouri		K	
Nebraska		. X	х
		X	A
Nevada	Х	X	
New Jersey	^	A	x^{b}
New Mexico	Х	X	, A
New York	Λ	X	
North Carolina	V		
North Dakota	X	X	
Ohio	X	X	
Oklahoma		v	
Pennsylvania	X	· X	
Rhode Island	X	X	
South Carolina	•	C	
South Dakota	49	Χ.	



TABLE J
STATE SALES TAXES
(Continued)

	1	Exemptions	Related
State	Food	PrescriptionDrugs	Income Tax Credit
Tennessee			
Texas	X	X	
Utah		•	Χ
Vermont	X	X	X
Virginia		X	
Washington		X	
West Virginia		X	
Wisconsin	X	Χ,	
Wyoming		χ ^đ	
District of Columbia	e	X	X

- a. Persons over 65.
- b. Two credits: medical expenses, state and local taxes.
- c. Persons over 50 or permanently and totally disabled.
- d. Effective July 1, 1975.
- e. Food, formerly taxed at a 2 percent rate, will be exempt effective December 1, 1975, except for vending machines and food consumed on the premises.

Source: Federation of Tax Administrators, <u>Tax Administrators News</u>, May, 1975, Vol. 39, No. 5, and Commerce Clearing House, <u>Inc.</u>, <u>State Tax</u> Review, Vol. 36, No. 43, p. 1, October 28, 1975.



TABLE K
STATE GENERAL SALES TAX RATES
(July 1, 1975)

	Current		Current
	Rate	_	Rate
State	(percent)	State	(percent)
Alabama	4.00	Missouri	3.00
Arizona	4.00	Nebraska	2.50
Arkansas	3.00	Nevada	3.00
California	4.75	New Jersey	5.00
Colorado	3.00	New Mexico	4.00
Connecticut*	7.00	New York	4.00
District of Columbia	5.00	North Carolina	3.00
Florida	4.00	North Dakota	4.00
Georgia	3.00	Ohio	4.00
Hawaii	4.00	Oklahoma	2.00
Idaho	3.00	Pennsylvania	6.00
Illinois	4.00	Rhode Island	5.00
Indiana	4.00	South Carolina	4.00
Iowa	3.00	South Dakota	4.00
Kansas	3.00	Tennessee ^a	3.50
Kentucky	5.00	Texas	4.00
Louisiana	3.00	Utah	4.00
Maine	5.00	Vermont	3.00
Maryland	4.00	Virginia	3.00
Massachusetts	3.00	Washington	4.50
Michigan	4.00	West Virginia	3.00
Minnesota	4.00	Wisconsin	4.00
Mississippi	5.00	Wyoming	3.00

^{*} Rate changed in 1975.

Source: Commerce Clearing House, Inc., State Tax Review, Vol. 36, No. 27, pp. 3, 4, July 8, 1975.



a. The decrease in the rate to 3 percent originally scheduled to be effective July 1, 1975, has been postponed until July 1, 1976.

12 percent of federal tax. New Jersey imposed a graduated tax on capital gains and other items of unearned income.

On the other hand, North Dakota modified the tax it is collecting by allowing a credit of 25 percent of the income tax liability but not more than \$100 of credit; this applies to taxable years 1975 and 1976 and is called "inflation credit." Oregon, New Mexico, and Idaho amended credits and deductions, and Iowa shifted tax burdens by reducing the rates in lower tax brackets and increasing the rates in higher brackets.

At the present time 44 states impose individual income taxes statewide; of these, 12 are SREB states. The Internal Revenue Code as currently in effect is the base for computation of taxes in 20 states, while the base for 12 states is the code in effect as of December 31, 1974, or January 1, 1975, (or other recent years).

A few states have made adjustments in their corporate income tax rates. Effective December 31, 1974, there was an increase from 5.5 percent to 7.25 percent in New Jersey's corporation business tax on net income. Also effective at the beginning of the year in Nebraska were increases from 2.5 percent for all corporations to 3 percent for corporations with taxable income of \$25,000 or less and 3.3 percent for corporations with taxable income over \$25,000. In Connecticut the corporate business tax rate was increased from 8 percent to 10 percent; Connecticut also raised its "additional" corporate business tax rates which vary with the kind of business being taxed. The base for corporate income tax in these states is the current Internal Revenue Code.

A gradual increase in corporate tax rates was authorized in Oregon with the initial increase being from 6 percent to 6.5 percent; finan-



cial institutions which were formerly taxed at 8 percent are now subject to the corporate excise (income) tax. Effective January 1, 1976, Michigan will have in effect a single business tax with a rate of 2.35 percent on the adjusted tax base; this is applicable to every individual, corporation, partnership, financial institution, and estate and trust with business activity located in or apportioned to Michigan. New Jersey also has extended its business taxes to include banking corporations while in Georgia the tax treatment of banks, trust companies and savings and loan associations was revised to exempt these institutions from paying the corporation income taxes (effective January 1, 1975). In the District of Columbia, the corporation income and unincorporated business tax rates will increase from 8 percent to 12 percent beginning January 1, 1975, for one year. Gross receipts and earnings tax rates are raised on utility companies, savings banks, building associations, and certain other financial institutions.

Other Taxes

In Table L the present motor fuel tax rates are shown. More changes have been made in these rates this year than those of any other tax. In this way states have been able to capitalize on the energy shortage.

Another direct result of the energy shortage is the new approach to property taxes which establishes tax incentives for solar energy systems. Indiana was the first to provide a tax deduction for solar heating systems (1974) and in 1975 seven states followed this lead. States having some type of legislation favorable to establishing solar heating and cooling systems are Colorado, Maryland; Montana, New Hampshire (subject to voter



approval), North Dakota, Oregon and South Dakota. No doubt other states will enact similar legislation in 1976.

A number of states broadened or increased property tax exemptions or credits to senior citizens or the disabled in 1975. These included Maine, New Hampshire, Kansas, Illinois, North Dakota, Utah, Arkansas, Ohio, New Jersey (subject to a referendum), Iowa, and Nevada. Illinois extended tax relief for the elderly to homestead improvements in counties with one million or more population.

Current cigarette tax rates in effect are shown in Table M.

Only Maryland, Massachusetts, Rhode Island and the District of Columbia changed these rates during 1975.

California, Oregon, New Hampshire and Massachusetts increased taxes on alcoholic beverages in 1975. Oregon, Maine and Maryland increased inheritance tax rates, while Rhode Island increased the inheritance tax exemptions.



TABLE L

MOTOR FUEL TAX RATES
(July 1, 1975)

	Ra	ite		Rat	е
	(cents pe	r gallon)		(cents per	gallon)
State	Gasoline	Diesel	State	Gasoline	<u>Diesel</u>
Alabama	7.00	8.00	Missouri	7.00	7.00
Alaska	8.00	8.00	Montana*	7.75 ^a	9.75
Arizona	8.00	8.00	Nebraska	8.50	8.50
Arkansas	8.50	9.50	Nevada	6.00	6.00
California	7.00	7.00	New Hampshire	9.00	9.00
Colorado	7.QO:	7.00	New Jersey	8.00	8.00
Connecticut	10.00	10.00	New Mexico	7.00	7.00
Delaware*	9.00	9.00	New York	8.00	10.00
District of			North Carolina	9.00	9.00
Columbia	8.00	8.00	North Dakota	7.00	7.00
Florida	8.00	8.00	Ohio	7.00	7.00
Georgia	7.50	7.50	Oklahoma	6.58 7.00 ^d	6.58 7.00 ^d
Hawaii*	11.50-13.50 ^c	11.50-13.50 ^c	Oregon	7.00 ^u	7.00 ^{tt}
Idaho	8.50	8.50	Pennsylvania	9.00	9.00
Illinois	7.50	7.50	Rhode Island*	10.00	10.00
Indiana	8.00	8.00	South Carolina	8.00	8.00
Iowa	7.00	8.00	South Dakota*	8.00	8.00
Kansas	7.00	8.00	Tennessee	7.00	8.00
Kentucky	9.00	9.00	Texas	5.00	6.50
Louisiana	8.00	8.00	Utah	7.00	7.00
Maine	9.00	9.00	Vermont	9.00	0.00
Maryland	9.00	9.00	Virginia	9.00	9.00
Massachusetts*	8.50	8.50	Washington	9.00	9.00
Michigan	9.00	7.00	West Virginia	8.50	8.50
Minnesota*	9.00	9.00	Wisconsin	7.00 _e	7.00
Mississippi	9.00	10.00	Wyoming	7.00	0.00

^{*} Rate changed in 1975.

a. An additional 1.5¢ tax was authorized but not effectuated.

b. Effective November 1, 1976, the gasoline tax rate is increased from 8.0¢ to 10.0¢ per gallon.

c. Varies by county. Rates effective after May 1, 1975, and prior to July 1, 1976.

d. An 8.0¢ gasoline and diesel fuel tax rate will be voted on in the November 1976 referendum. If it is approved it will become effective January 1, 1977.

e. Effective March 1, 1976, the gasoline tax rate will be 8.0¢ per gallon.

Source: Commerce Clearing House, Inc., State Tax Review, Vol. 36, No. 27, pp. 3, 4, July 8, 1975, and Vol. 36, No. 30, p. 2, July 29, 1975; and State Tax Guide, p. 4015.



TABLE M

CIGARETTE TAX RATES
(July 1, 1975)

	Rate (cents per		Rate (cents per
State	package)	State	package)
Alabama	12.00	Missouri	9.00
Alaska	8.00	Montana	12.00
Arizona	13.00	Nebraska	13.00
Arkansas	17.75	Nevada	10.00
California	10.00	New Hampshire	12.00
Colorado	10.00	New Jersey	19.00
Connecticut	21.00	New Mexico	12.00
Delaware	14.00	New York	15.00
District of		North Carolina	2.00
Columbia ^a	6.00	North Dakota	11.00
Florida	17.00	Ohio	15.00
Georgia	12.00	Oklahoma	13.00
Hawaii	40 percent	Oregon	9.00
Idaho	9.10	Pennsylvania	18.00
Illinois	12.00	Rhode Island*	18.00
Indiana	6.00	South Carolina	6.00
Iowa	13.00	South Dakota	12.00
Kansas	11.00	Tennessee	13.00
Kentucky	3.00	Texas	18.50
Louisiana	11.00	Utah	8.00
Maine	16.00	Vermont	12.00
Maryland*	10.00	Virginia	2.50
Massachusetts*	21.00	Washington	- 16.00
Michigan	11.00	West Virginia	12.00
Minnesota	18.00	Wisconsin	16.00
Mississippi	11.00	Wyoming	8.00

^{*} Rate changed in 1975.

Source: Commerce Clearing House, Inc., State Tax Review, Vol. 36, No. 27, pp. 3, 4, July 8, 1975, and p. 1, October 28, 1975.



a. Effective December 1, 1975, the District of Columbia rates will increase from 6.0¢ to 10.0¢ per package.

b. The Hawaii rate is a percentage of the wholesale price.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The data presented in the tables of this report indicate that tax growth has slowed relative to the economy for the second year. While taxes as a percent of personal income did not decline much, neither did they increase, as has been the long run trend. The major tax increase was in the severance taxes, largely a result of higher prices for natural resources. Most tax collections increased at rates below those of recent years because of (a) the energy shortage, (b) tax reductions or tax relief in several states or (c) the downturn in the economy.

In several instances, taxes grew somewhat slowly and unutilized potential failed to show expected growth because all states used the source less intensively. Average collections as measured by the proxy base declined.

Relative tax burdens among the states according to the measure in Table 19, Column 4, did not shift much. The five states with the heaviest burdens in 1973 remained the top five in 1974. Citizens of Alaska and the District of Columbia remained among the least burdened in 1974 and were joined by Connecticut, New Jersey and Ohio. Delaware, North Dakota and Nebraska increased their burdens.

The tax burden of the SREB states continued to be the least in relation to total personal income and that of the Middle Atlantic states was the greatest. The three regions with the highest burden reduced taxes from 1973 to 1974, while the three regions with the lowest burden increased average taxes as a percent of personal income. Thus, taxes nation-wide became more equal.



Discussion in this report has centered only on underutilization of a particular tax source without due consideration to overutilization of other sources. Tables 20 through 34 report both overutilization and underutilization by tax source and net over or underutilization for SREB states. Net over or underutilization is reported by state in Table 36, Column 2. In eight states net overutilization is indicated. In the New England states and the Pacific states net overutilization for the entire region is evident.

Collections listed in tables of this report are not affected by 1975 state or local actions and only partially by those taken in 1974. Major new tax actions—those significantly affecting collections—are reported in two sections preceding this summary. In an examination of a state's current position such new tax actions must be considered.



STATISTICAL TABLES



TABLE 1

POPULATION, PERSCNAL INCOME AND STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS TAX REVENUE FOR STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITE STATES, 1974
(COLLECTIONS IN THOUSANDS)

TAL ELD AGE UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	316 \$ 673250	467129 15938	154 518940		782 17286	50428 23924	530 \$ 776923		359009		328499	8 960712	166	842		903 48973		-		32064	82623 1474	901 459178	67260	68582 . 621	149 \$ 477328		13692	181
PCTENTIAL TAX YISLD AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 1618316	467129	777154	16	117782	20	\$ 5340530	83	1094075	2643988	1519052	\$ 7545019	1648991	655842	353883	286903	1260812	156665	564642	175948	826	1392901	.19	556179	\$ 7002749	351054	206340	181066
AMCUNT COLL ECTED	8 965078	451191	258214	į	100456	26504	\$ 4988263	1	135966	3062641	1190553	8 6797968	1670980	831654	286240	237930	1167246	350241	515217	143884	81146	933723	83117	477590	\$ 7466529	417159	192648	1196571
PERSONAL INCOME 1574 (MILLICNS)	\$ 65222	19581	33242	4156	5038	2157	\$ 226436	3568	46758	113094	92559	\$ 322731	70534	28353	15137	12272	53530	21346	24152	. 7526	3534	55580	2677	23750	\$ 299536	15016	8826	45354
FOPULATION JELY 1: 1574 (THCLSANGS)	12150	3088	5800	8 D 3	165	710	37849	573	7330	18111	11835	57543	111131	5330	2855	2270	8606	1155	4777	1543	637	10737	682	4566	63175	3577	2902	8090
STATE ANC REGION	NEW ENGLAND STATES	CCNNECTICUT WAINS				VERMONT	MIGGLE ATLANTIC STATES				PENNSYLVANIA	H CENTRAL STATES									NORTH DAKOTA	_		MISCONSIN	STATES		_	FLORIDA
ŗ.	NO.	~ ``	1 W	4	3	e	1001	7	œ	6	10	NGRTH	11	12	3	1,4	15	16	17	B	19	20	51	22	S 4 7 B	23	54	25

ALL NOTES AND FCCTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 114



TABLE 1 (CONTINUED)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		:	16652	!	198183	i	69473	!	1	22151	157177	1	\$ 174349	1	}	22342	82083	1	1	69925	}	1	\$ 317902	38737	1	!	279165	1	\$ 19458		\$3393912
POTENTIAL TAX YIELO AT AVERAGE RATE		\$ 532099	350844	379272	562888	204493	578295	277154	432880	1349330	604152	183803	\$ 1381654	251134	311801	92182	82483	81358	108524	289218	122083	43274	\$ 3841091	55337	2931195	116192	279165	459204	\$ 126409		\$26855568
AMCUNT CGLLECTED		\$ 585911	334192	544257	364705	360980	508825	314726	601772	1327149	446975	270722	\$ 1529824	381358	358770	69840	;	92056	183203	219293	167255	55379	\$ 4455492	16600	3353211	244353	1	841328	196901 \$		\$26313968
PERSCNAL INCCME 1974 (MILLICNS)		\$ 22760		16223	24877	8747	24736	11855	18516	51115	25842	7862	66055 \$	10742	13337	1965	3511	2480	4642	12371	5222	1821	\$ 164299	2367	125379	4570	11541	19642	\$ 5407		\$1148730
POPULATION JULY 1, 1574 (THOLSANCS)		4882	7255	3764	45.)4	2324	5363	2784	4129	12050	4 508	1621	12119	2153	2496	799	735	E 7.3	1122	2769	1173	359	27833	337	70907	647	2266	3476	723	•	. 211352
STATE AND REGION	SREB STATES (CCNTINUED)	24 (ED9613	22 KEN 311			TOTAL OF	ANTITAC TERM		MOSSING OF FEE	34 TEXAS			MCUNT LIN STATES	27 ABIZONA						47 THE FIRST			PACIFIC STATES	46 AI A SK A			200000	50 NASHINGTON	FISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

TABLE 2

STA	HE !	STATE AND LOCAL SELECTIVE SALES AND FOR STATES, REGIONS, AND THE (IN THOUSANDS OF	SELE S, R	CTIVE SAL EGIONS, A IN THOUSA	ES AI ND TH		PECEI STAT	GROSS PECEIPTS TAX REVENUE, UNITED STATES, 1974 COLLARS)	REVE	• = 0.2			
		AL		ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES	AGES				Ē	TOBACCO PRODUCTS	R 3D UI	CTS	
STATE AND REGION	J	AMCLNT COLLECTED	A TA	POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE	20	UNUT I L I ZED PCTENTI AL		AMOUNT COLLECTED		POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE	AL GE	UNU POT	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL
NEW ENGLAND STATES	**	154437	•	144325	•	25035	•	256674	•	202879	ō		!
		24703		41660		16957		71848		58561	-		ł
2 MAINS		19683		9691		1		19992		13623	Ŵ		1
		64810		69308		4498		114437		97427	7		!
		26525		8665		!		23419		12181	=		!
5 RHODE ISLAND		11792		10504		3580		18488		14766	9 0		
		7, , , , ,									!		
PIDCLE ATLANTIC STATES	VI	372781	•	476279	•	121059	•	746395	•	669511	- 4	s.	2918
		7721		7439		!		11924		10457	7		;
		56773		57572		40199		168270	_	137158	60		1
		155536		235756		80260		328543		331461	-		2918
10 FENNSYLVANIA		152751		135472		ł		237658	_	199435	5		ŀ
NCRTH CENTRAL STATES	•	553091	•	672880	•	168704	•	938580	•	945876		Ġ	828.15
11 ILLINGIS		74389		147060		12671		189273		206725	5		17452
		21683		56489		36809		48484		82219			32735
		33001		31560		!		43407		44364	4		156
		14637		25587		10550		29355		35967	۲.		6612
15 MICHIGAN		129050		112442		1		136705		158761	<u>ب</u> د		21356
		49033		44000		1 0		1 1807	_	792767	7 :		!
		9515		15601		61829		75017		22058	0 α		1056
		5657		7368		1671		7720		10358			2638
		154856		124222		1		189602		174620			ł
		6622		5558		1		8596	_	8432	7		¦
22 WISCONSIN		37004		45601		12597		80026	_	2269	ις.		ŀ
SREB STATES	•	508413	•	624519	•	51574	•	924707	•	877894	4	\$ 1	123012
23 BLABAMA		74614		31308		ł		52566	_	44010	0		l
		16073		18402		2329		39984		25868	æ		ı
		169212		83306		1		174471		124133	m		1



ALL NOTES AND FCCTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 114

TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)

		•																												
TAXES	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	25156	14257	5306	1	•	5593	1	108931	3147	41692	ł	26059	303852	54985	31010	16947	14009	61477	} ;	24671	1949	3749	63815	!	25239	64632	1495	9415	4196
S.		•							•					•													•			
SELECTIVE SALES	POTENTIAL TAX YIELD T AVERAGE RATE	79022	22810	5306	37548	4744	5751	2462	260776	4073	53423	129105	74175	368420	80520	32024	17280	14039	61765	24368	27571	8591	4034	68015	3284	27158	341941	17142	10075	48350
E.	A - P	•							•		,			•													•			
OTHER SEL	AMBUNT	97892	8553	1	94659	11571	158	12654	238453	926	11731	215713	10083	103897	25535	1014	333	;	88	57627	2900	642	285	4200	9354	1919	59752R	15647	009	38676
	0	•							•					-													•			
S:	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	135896	1	7381	116938	11578	;	1.	129823	1	1	72298	57526	348630	!	79184	37848	1	149213	!	!	9515	9606	31772	4655	27288	127334	}	9548	1
116		•							•					•													•			
PLELIC UTILITIES	POTENTIAL TAX VIELO IT AVERAGE RATE	243507	70285	16351	116938	14620	17723	7588	803585	12551	164625	397839	228571	1135292	248122	58684	53248	43170	189713	75090	64961	26475	12432	209589	10121	83688	1053698	52823	31048	148992
<u>=</u>	ā i i	•							•					•													•			
a .	AMGUNT COLLECTED	113735	02457	89.70	2	3042	19863	8230	744143	16348	231209	325541	171045	521773	362122	19500	15400	47036	40500	80930	96366	16900	3336	177817	2466	26400	1434112	107085	21800	303506
		•							•					•													•			
	UNLTILIZEO POTENTIAL	009	į	8.7	, ,	78	16.6	1	31671	1401	18423	1	11646	104522	54726	7119	355	ł	15550	1	1048	31	814	15362	1	5673	14921	I	1	13071
ES		•							•					•													•			
NSURANCE TAXES	PCTENTIAL TAX YIELD NT AVERAGE RATE	100532	, , , ,	4CT 67	07.44	6464	7346	3145	333082	5 202	48236	164902	14141	470573	102845	70007	22071	17894	78635	31125	35216	10974	5153	86873	4155	34688	436752	21895	12669	61756
NSU	<u> </u>	•							•					•													•			
1	AMOUNT COLLECTED	136583		57 1 5 5 T				3402	304889			_		367866												24615	509033			48685
	ີວິ	•	•	٦ ,	4 6	? .	* 1/	9	•	,	- 0	0 0	, 5	**	=	: :	1 1	7	12	16	17		19	20	7	22	•	27	3 %	22
												6	3																	



TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)

CTS	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		!	23896	!	37320	1172	596	13134	1	1	46824	ł	\$ 25153	4336	6017	4116	ŀ	ł	164	1	8422	1458	\$ 120323	3508	103830	6261	5039	1685	\$ 7986	\$ 362198
TOBACCO PRODUCTS	POTENTIAL TAX YIFLD AT AVERAGE RATE		90199	43983	41541	70566	25636	72497	34145	54268	169154	75739	231)42	\$ 173210	31483	39189	11556	10250	10199	13605	36258	15305	5425	\$ 481535	7669	367467	14566	16676	57568	15847	\$ 3366736
-	AMOUNT COLLECTED		70239	20087	51910	33546	54464	71532	21611	62716	247952	28915	24714	161326	27147	33072	7440	10458	126/12	12841	46956	6883	3927	361212	3429	263637	8305	29958	55883	. 7861	3366755
ES	UNUT I L I ZED POTENTI AL		i	16677	1	23964	ł	ł	!	1	8604	!	!	\$ 25789 \$	8507	12306	}	;	Į.	3767	1	;	1209	\$ 142107 \$	1	142107	1	1	ł	1	534267
ALCOHOLIC REVERAGES	POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE		41454	31289	33824	50155	16237	51573	24717	38635	120333	53579	16392	\$ 12221 \$	22397	27807	8221	7320	7256	9678	25753	10888	3 £ 5 \$	\$ 342556	5557	261410	16262	24896	40953	\$ 11273	\$ 2395035
ALC	AMCUNT CCLLECTEO		116381	14612	45645	26235	54258	77.18	61500	11212	111729	77411	35413	\$ 118529	13850	15591	14459	13213	1368	5911	30019	14315	26 50	\$ 270331	5651	119303	11414	87677	88555	\$ 12670	\$ 2395052
	STATE AND REGIGN	SREE STATES (CONTINUED)		27 KENTLCKY	28 LOUISIANA		INTERNATION OF	31 NOFT CARCLINA				-	36 REST VIRGINIA	MCUNTAIN STATES	37 ARIZCHA	38 CCLC4ADO						44 LTAH	45 WYGPING	PACIFIC STATES	46 ALBSKA	47 CALIFORNIA				CISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA	ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)

PUBLIC UTILITIES

INSURANCE TAXES

OTHER SELECTIVE SALES TAXES

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	18182 577 875 835 8037 723 15633 15633 1975 1975 2113 85351 2702 64844 5684 5684 5684 5684 5684 5684 568	411770
70 W	•	•
POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE	25982 17132 18520 27486 9935 28238 13533 21137 65887 6975 6766 15225 4501 161263 15225 4501 161263 1	7
Q. L. A.		٠
AMOUNT	7800 61451 17943 81651 9150 20201 12810 5504 55114 35020 1688 67813 67813 67813 67813 67813 78285 11211 1500 31426	1001111
_	un un un un	•
JNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	32964 37591 2196 11663 11663 11253 1253 21757 31132 5147 5147 2735 5147 3511 207990 1302	706400
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
PCTENTIAL TAX'YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE	80064 52751 84657 301703 65135 65135 66169 67696 67788 766916 12242 13242 13242 13242 13242 13242 146916 12351 12351 12351 12351 12351 12351 12454 146916 6511 8 577566 69066 69066	0 t t 0 t 0 t 0 t 0 t 0 t 0 t 0 t 0 t 0
ā + +	w w	٨
AMOUNT COLL FCT ED		1004746
-	us us us	٠
JNLTILIZEG POTENTIAL	1850	176791
	w w w	^
POTENTIAL TAX YIELD T AVERAGE RATE	33186 21862 23655 35107 12766 26958 84156 17266 11464 11464 11464 11663 116038	16/4946
4 + P	w w	•
AMJUNT CCLLECTED	36740 41768 33257 19768 44724 21360 21360 21360 19456 19456 7367 19456 7367 19632 2677 2674 8632 2677 2764 15831 2765 15831 2765 15831 2765 15831 2765 277 2764 2764 2764 2764 2764 2764 2764	11674560
A D	26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	<u> </u>



ALL NCTES AND FCOTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 114

TABLE 3

STATE DEATH AND GIFT TAX REVENUC, FOR STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974 (IN THGUSANDS)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	ł	1	1	1	!	:	1	ł	!	-	;	!	\$ 126742	8584	12376	1	3117	29193	;	14810	8466	3171	47025	1	1	\$ 131927	12557	8666	11990
POTENTIAL TAX VITLD AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 86512	24972	6085	41545	5194	6296	2696	\$ 285495	. 4459	58487	141343	81206	\$ 403343	88152	35060	18918	15337	10749	26678	30185	9496	4417	74462	3596	. 29732	\$ 374354	18767	11031	52933
APOUNT CCLLECTED	\$ 144200	54213	7112	63878	5766	10349	2922	\$ 365890	4606	86314	145060	127850	\$ 30 6 6 7 6	75568	22684	25121	12223	36208	33859	15375	076	1246	27437	3803	48215	\$ 249365	6710	1053	40943
STATE AND REGION	NEW ENGLAND STATES	1 CONNECTICAL		3 MASSACHUSETTS		_	6 VERMENT	* PICCLE ATLANTIC STATES	7 CELANAPE	8 NEW JERSON	S NSW YORK	10 PENNSYLVANIA	NORTH CENTRAL STATES	11 ILLINCIS					16 MINNESCTA			•				SREB STATES	23 ALABAMA		25 FLURICA



TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		18558	2538	6923	16794	1489	1	8405	1	21928	12569	1738	\$ 21448	8319	1	1283	!	6965	3428	;	2857	1211	\$ 6397	2846	!	3550	1	1	\$ 794		\$ 287307
POTENTIAL TAX YIELO AT AVERAGE RATE		28445	18755	29275	30091	10932	30915	14816	23141	72131	32297	5826	\$ 73861	13425	16668	4928	4388	4349	5801	15461	6526	2313	\$ 205338	2958	156696	6211	14924	24548	\$ 6758		s 1435653
AMCUNT CCLLECTED		1885	16217	13352	12297	3443	31148	5911	29845	50203	15728	8088	\$ 61262	\$106	23304	3645	5657	!	2373	22366	3669	1102	\$ 285557	112	23 29 35	2661	26375	33874	\$ 5564		\$ 1431314
STATE AND REGION	SREB STATES (CCNTINUED)	26 GECRGIA	27 KSNTLCKY							-	35 VIRGINIA	36 FEST VIRGINIA	MOLNTAIN STATES	37 APIZCNA	_	39 IDAHC			42 NEW MEXICO		_	45 WYCFING	PACIFIC STATES	46 DLASKA					CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	ALL STATES INCLUDING	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



ALL MOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 115

्रम् सम्बद्ध

TABLE 4

STATE ANC LDCAL GENERAL PROPERTY TAX REVENUE
FOR STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974
(IN THOUSANDS)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL			ŀ	1	!	11		\$ 958831	79148	i		879683	\$ 676371	;	1	•		!	37901	179837	!	40066	418567	ļ	i	\$ 4343216	449755	195732	514961
POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 2877958	830726	193244	1382)62	172789	209459	61060	6072576 \$	148342	1945664	4701974	2701429	\$ 13417795	2932507	1166326	629333	510218	2242183	887477	1004139	312900	146929	2477086	119614	880686	\$ 12453444	624302	366948	1760901
AMOUNT CCLLECTED	\$ 4132213	1023000	294100	2224361	224551	234358	123833	\$ 10884537	62194	2775813	6213784	1821746	\$ 13717596	2175961	1257111	667413	586403	2395571	845576	824302	399382	106863	2058519	171700	1220755	. \$ 8110228	174547	171216	1245940
STATE AND REGION	AEN ENGLANC STATES	1 CCNNECTICUT	2 MAINE			5 RFOCE ISLAND		PICCLE ATLANTIC STATES	7 CELAWARE			10 PENNSYLVANIA	NCPTH CENTRAL STATES	11 ILLINGIS	-	13 ICWA		15 WICHIGAN	16 MINNESCIA		18 NEBRASKA		20 CHIC	21 SOUTH DAKCTA	22 MISCONSIN	SREB STATES	23 ALABAMA		25 FLORIDA



TABLE 4 (CCNTINUED)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		279366	332647	377921	146174	147563	445057	235558	339618	334336	389642.	162150	\$ 442676	ŀ	36516	40961	1	6006	84362	222334	49500	1	\$ 195725	34910	;	84732	ı	76083	\$ 75254	\$ 6692065
POTENTIAL TAX YISLD AT AVERAGE RATE		946265	623528	674484	1001021	363664	1028419	492881	769818	2399547	1074491	326869	\$ 2457084	446607	554497	163933	145973	144684	192995	514334	217109	76957	\$ 6830859	98410	5212732	206632	154964	816632	\$ 224800	\$ 47759056
AMCLNT COLLECTFD		661139	291281	25,6563	E54847	223101	583322	257323	430200	2065211	684759	164719	\$ 2124087	455807	5179.61	122972	224807	135681	106633	292000	167609	94597	\$ 8641437	63500	7147932	121900	567556	140549	\$ 145546	\$ 47755376
STATE AND REGION	SREE STATES (CONTINUED)	26 GFCPGIA						32 SOUTH CAROLINA	TENNEL	•		36 KFST VIRGINIA	MGUNTAIN STATES	37 ARIZCNA							44 LTAF	45 WYCMING	FACIFIC STATES	46 ALASKA	47 CALIFERNIA			50 hASHINGTON	CISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA	ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

TABLE 5

CORPORATE INCOME TAXES	TAX YIELD TAX YIELD AMDUNT AT AVERAGE UNUTILIZED COLLECTED RATE POTENTIAL	\$ 503224 \$ 392175 \$ 17328	113202	13202 26333 13131	23546	28543	8024 12220 4196	\$ 1635540 \$ 1294198 \$ 67542	20214		640732	540103 368120	\$ 1361294 \$ 1828421 \$ 572841	60966E	~	85758	69527	655056 271605 356051 356101		42638	25052	337549 1		160269 134782	\$ 1148656 \$ 1697012 \$ 583665	47255 85073 37818	50004	188778 239956 51178
IIN INCOMENDATION	UNUTILIZ ^E D POTENTIAL	\$ 564379	397596	57828	77864	31091	1	\$ 931207	1	931207	1	!	\$ 1466044	423210	256536	1	108558	\$ U	116832	77503	28211	354836	59955	1	\$ 3352591	128223	90699	882631
INDIVIDUAL INCCME TAXES	 TAX YIELD AT AVEPAGE	\$ 1442542	416392	56861	86603	104589	44951	\$ 4760464	74355	275242	2356609	1354059	\$ 6725509	1465,885	584607	315446	255741	1123558	50.505	156837	73646	1241610	55555	692565	\$ 6242141	312524	183528	882631
INDIVI	AMOUNT COLLECTED	\$ 1164163	16796	19033	8744	73858	52662	\$ 5937928	116788	44035	4236653	1540915	\$ 5828355	1046675	328071	320554	147143	405571	786481	76652	45435	646774	;	802995	\$ 3233029	184701	117022	1
	STATE AND REGION	NEW FAGLANC STATES	_	2 MAINE			6 VERMONT	*IDDLE ATLANTIC STATES	7 CELAWARS			10 PFNNSYLVANIA	NORTH CENTRAL STATES					ZANTLUZ CI	TO TANKE GIVE TO THE		19-NOATH DAKGTA			22 WISCCNSIN	SREE STATES	23 ALABAWA		

ALL NOTES AND FCCTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 115



TABLE 5 (CONTINUED)

INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES

CORPORATE INCOME TAXES

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		1	1658	24308	46343	19491	Į	1	!	326983	40001	31798	127929	21503	22815	1	4155	19716	10139	29702	9412	10487	\$ 126442	5169		1666	111281	\$ 5513		\$ 1501252
⊃ a.													•										•					•		•
POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE		128946	85322	91911	136498	49556	140141	67164	104902	326983	146407	44545	334824	63829	75569	22339	19891	19716	26299	70088	29585	10487	930832	13410	70001	75187	111281	30633		6508082
ā - ¥	1 44												•										•			•		•		•
AMOUNT COLLECTED		132629	83364	67603	9006	30065	153289	17568	112974	1	106406	12744	207632	39356	52745	23076	15736	1	16160	40386	20173	i	1158172	8241	100001	99181	46708	25120	1	8696609
78													•										•					•	•	•
UNUTILIZED PCTENTIAL		134264	31213	238121	1	54265	11164	54339	36638	1202745	69564	64276	\$ 429261	86159	27498	1866	!	72521	38793	137031	18791	38574	\$ 1219176	108	15/609	1	409327	l		\$ 7962651
POTCNTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE		474304	312737	73867	501750	182282	515483	247051	385862	1202745	538531	163835	1221585	223857	277535	82170	72167	72521	56736	257664	106623	38574	\$ 3423888	45327	1727197	1035/2	409327	112679		\$ 23938624
-													•										•							
AMOUNT CCLLECTFO		340040	281524	95 565	£45228	F C 25 C D	504319	152712	16464	1	468967	59563	\$ 808188	137658	25052	72183	79629	1	57946	120773	90032	1	\$ 2356428	49219	0805081	151733	352356	778781 3		\$19465522
STATE AND REGION	SREE STATES (CENTINUED)		27 KENTLOKY				_		-	•	_	36 KEST VIRGINIA	- MCUNTAIN STATES	22 ABITINA		_					_		PACIFIC STATES	46 ALASKA			49 CREGEN 50 MASHINGTEN	ATRACTOR AC TOTOTOR		ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

71

TABLE 6.

STATE ALCOHCLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE TAX REVENUE, FOR STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974 (IN TPOLSANDS)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	\$ 4314	3711 49 554	\$ 3877	3835	\$ 1 6300	7715 10 10 10 311 2343 929 8111 245 2883 \$ 14270	
POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 8644	2495 580 4151 519 629 269	\$ 28527	446 5844 14123 8114	\$ 40303	8898 3503 1890 1533 6735 2666 3016 940 441 7440 7440 7440 8 379 2971 8 37406 1102 5289	
APQUNT CCLLECTED	\$ 6954	4651 1039 440 470 75 279	\$ 43743	404 2009 32592 - E738	\$ 25775	1093 3493 3733 480 (424 223 223 1026 11026 703 8 31165 2446 737	
STATE AND REGICN	NEW ENGLAND STATES	1 CCNNECTICUT 2 MAINE 3 MASSACHUSETTS 4 NEW HAMPSHIRE 5 RFCF ISLAND	MICCLE ATLANTIC STATES	7 PELAWARE 8 NEW JERSEY 9 NEW YECK 10 FENNSYLVANIA	NCFTH CENTRAL STATES	11 ILLINCIS 12 INCTANA 13 IDMA 14 KANSAS 15 MENSAS 15 MINESOTA 17 MISSOTA 19 NEBRASKA 19 NEBRASKA 19 OCHIC 20 CHIC 21 SDLTH CAKOTA 22 MISCONSIN SREE STATES 23 ALABANA 25 FLCRICA 25 FLCRICA 26 FRANSAS 27 FRANSAS	

ALL NOTES AND FCCTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 115



TABLE 6 (CCNTINUED)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	4 *	2210	LOK.	2776	1	5649	1:	1898	166	5010	l	\$ 2893	170	169	!	71,	700	167	578	221	\$ 1241	l		621	620	l	1	\$ 42895
POTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE		2842	7 BT	2005	2601	908	1480	2312	7207	3227	286	\$ 7380	1341	1666	767	20 m	ብ ያ ታ ፤	080	1545	231	\$ 20518	296	15657	6 621	1491	2453	\$ 675	\$ 143453
APCLNT CGLL ECTED		525	016	1629	1412	2101	2502	414	6216	1208	1100	\$. 4722	1711	1015	553	613	50	283	583	10	\$ 25375	79.6	21124	1	871	2584	\$ 1079	\$ 142833
STATE ANC REGION	SREE STATES (CONTINUED)	26 GEJRGIA		28 LCLISIANA		ANTICOAC SHOCK AC				_	36 MEST VINGINIA	MOUNTAIN STATES	37 ARIZENA	38 CCLCFACO	39 ICAFC			42 NEW PEXICO	43 CKLAHCMA	44 LTAF 45 WYCMING	PACIFIC STATES	46 81 0 SKA				50 MASHINGTON	CISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA	ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



ALL NOTES AND FCOTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 115

TABLE 7

ALMBER OF WOTCE VEHICLES REGISTERED
AND STATE AND LOCAL MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSE TAX REVENUE,
FCR STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974
(In Thousands)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	\$ 57779	10867	43324	!	3538	\$ 28943	1	!	1	. 28943	\$ 78626		17383	1	9045	!	•	i	1	1	52198	•	ŀ	\$ 189952	30722	166	1
POTENTIAL TAX YIFLD AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 200157	55510 18405	87845	13727	16799 827D	\$ 549297	9866	121874	217100	200386	\$ 1032803	178389	96718	59731	52753	156478	72568	81470	32335	15048	198922	14703	73688	\$ 1171475	10471	35580	163313
AMDUNT COLLECTED	\$ 154023	44643	44521	17324	13211 14803	\$ 625297	16627	148795	288432	171443	\$ 1200523	323526	79335	97439	43708	162901	92618	103264	35578	19406	146724	10011	79023	\$ 1048435	39749	35414	192086
NUMBER OF MOTCR VEHICLES REGISTERED, 1974	6570	1933	3059	478	585 288	19128	346	4544	7540	6978	35965	6212	3368	2080	1837	. 6555	2527	2837	1126	524	6927	512	2566	75107	2454	1239	5687
STATE AND REGION	NEW ENGLAND STATES	1 CCNNECTICUT 2 MAINE		4 NEW HAMPSHIRE	S AHGGE ISLAND E VERMENT	PIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES	7 CELANARE	8 NEW JEPSEY	9 NEW YORK	10 FENNSYLVANIA	NCRTH CENTRAL STATES		12 INDIANA			15 FICHIGAN	16 MINNESCTA	17 MISSCURI	18 NEEFASKA	19 -NORTH CAKETA			22 MISCENSIN	SAER STATES	23 ALAEAMA	24 ARKANSAS	



TABLE 7 (CONTINUED)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		54095	21136	30222	!	7792	20611	25208	1	1	!	:	\$ 43565	6996	20610	817	1513	!	4139	1	6823		\$ 87394	1 1	15601	156	09071	0001	1		\$ 486260
POTFNTIAL TAX YIFLD AT AVERAGE RATE		96634	62775	61885	67657	39026	103639	48589	75037	235565	84198	27137	\$ 258280	43765	54533	17718	16943	13382	21566	11065	22342	0968	\$ 535225	6665	395920	14387	48331	6011/	\$ 7524		\$ 3754740
AMOUNT		42509	41639	31663	20EB9	31234	83028	23381	9-3323	242771	69425	28865	\$ 241207	34102	33923	16901	15430	13510	17427	77829	15519	16566	\$ 471887	0019	324973	06621	71085	RETEC	\$ 13391		\$ 3754763
.NLMBER DF MCTCR VEHICLES REGISTERE D, 1974		4366	2186	2155	23.56	23.50	3609	1692	2613	8203	2932	945	8994	1524	1899	617	590	994	151	2057	778	312	18638	1.88	13787	501	1683	24 79	292		130751
STATE AND REGION	SREE STATES (CCNTINUED)	24 (508,618	VAC TENEN 22	28 17 17 1883	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	24 SENTEND	7 0	ָ כָ	DE COOLS CARELINA			36 FEST VIRGINIA	PCUNTAIN STATES	0		֓֞֝֞֝֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	1 2	, u		. X		PAULUNC PROPERTY	PACIFIC STATES		2	F A W	49 CRECCN		CISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA	6717	CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
												7	5						-												



TABLE 8

STATE ANC LOCAL MCTOR FUEL TAX REVENUE, FOR STATES, REGIONS ANC THE UNITED STATES, 1974 (IN THOLSANDS)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	\$ 17870	12677	\$ 17503	1840 15663	\$ 137613	13415	20393	15637	7079	62652	6199	\$ 131846	2460
POTENTIAL TAX YITLD AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 441272	122379 39696 193666 30262 37037 18233	\$ 1210998	21905 268689 478626 441779	\$ 2276951	393283 213229 131685	116301 344978	159985 179611	71287 33175	438550	162454	\$ 2582674	155363 78441 360046
APCUNT CCLLFCT ED	\$ 455959	131640 50207 186589 37447 31843	\$ 1242087	27383 266848 521740 426116	\$ 2262651	375868 244335 115869	5 5907 400855	144348 200826	8273 0 26055	375898 36085	155835	\$ 2752789	166577 107735 357565
STATE AND REGICN	NEW ENGLAND STATES	1 CCNNECTICLT 2 MAINE 3 MASSACHUSETTS 4 NEW HAMPSHIRE 5 RACE ISLAND 6 VERPORT	PIDGLE ATLANTIC STATES	7 CELAMARE 8 NEW JERSEY 9 NEW YORK 10 PENNSYLVANIA	NORTH CENTRAL STATES	11 ILLINGIS 12 INSIANA 13 ICHA		16 PINESCTA 17 PISSCURI	18 NEERASKA 19 NORTH CAKCTA	20 CHIC 21 SOUTH DAKETA	_	SREB STATES	23 ALBEAMA 24 ARKANSAS 25 FLGRICA

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 115

TABLE 8 (CCNTINUED)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		129386	\$ 65009 9243 28852 4309 1913 19014 1676	\$ 155157 128284 2086 24786 24786 5 525000
FOTENTIAL TAX YIELD AT AVERAGE RATE	212976 138396 136434 149159	16039 228487 107121 165430 516334 185626 59828	\$ 569412 96485 120226 39062 37353 29503 47546 130229 49255 19753	\$ 1179976 11902 872859 31718 106551 156946 \$ 16587
AMOUNT ACCLLECTED	231174 165570 146515	1275152 1275152 266594 127242 174499 389948 225997	\$ 512364 87241 91374 34753 35460 31102 53982 111215 41579	\$ 1027882 13743 744574 25632 61765 156168 \$ 15150
STATE AND REGION		25 FARYLAND 30 MISSISSIFFI 31 NCRTH CARCLINA 32 SOLTH CARGLINA 34 TEXAS 25 VIGINIA 36 WEST VIRGINIA	MOLNTAIN STATES 37 APIZCAA 38 CCLCRADO 39 ICALC 40 FONTANA 41 NEVADA 42 NEW PEXICC 43 CKLAPCWA 44 LTAP	PACIFIC STATES 46 ALASKA 47 CALIFCRNIA 48 FAWAII 49 CREGCN 50 WASHINGTGN DISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA ALL STATES INCLUDING EISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA

ALL NOTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 116

÷	
TABLE S	

VALUE CF PROCUCTS SEVERED AND
SEVERANCE TAX REVENUE,
FOR STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974
(In THOUSANG)

POTENTIAL

								-																					
UNUTILIZED Potential	12202	1650	2806	6115	456	193	7171	81211	241	6078	16379	58513	180334	36566	15145	6442	27001	30917	1958	21587	2774	564	30459	3132	4118	158157	10374	5065	7307
P C P	٠							•					•													•			
TAX YIELD At Average Rate	\$ 12307	1650	2806	6119	561	793	1717	\$ 81211	241	6078	16379	58513	\$ 222718	36566	15460	6442	27705	33259	31352	21587	3496	6494	34560	3102	4539	\$ 855855	19001	11593	22973
AMOUNT	\$ 105	!	!	!	105	!	1	1	ł	!	+	1	\$ 42384	ŀ	315	i	704	2342	29394	1	722	4385	4101	!	421	\$ 1020251	8627	6528	15666
VALUE OF SEVCRED FRODUCTS	\$ 255675	34851	59256	10001	11839	16754	36264	\$ 1714837	5084	128339	145865	1235539	\$ 4702846	772127	326455	136025	585014	702288	662323	455832	73325	98164	729757	65495	55841	\$ 18671984	491216	244799	485097
STATE AND REGION	NEW ENGLAND STATES	1 CCNNSCTICLT	MAINE		4 NEW TAMPSHIRE	THE CHAIN	6 VERMONT	· PIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	· cc		10 FENNSYLVANIA	NORTH CENTRAL STATES	II ILLINGIS	12 INCIANA	13 1Ch	14 KBNSAS		16 PINNESCIA	17 MISSCLRI	18 NEBPASKA	19 ACSTH CAKETA	20 OFIG	21 SOUTH DAKETA	Z.	SREE STATES	ALARA	ARKAN	25 FLCRICA
								٠	. •	Ċ	ປ	•																	

TABLE 9 (CONTINUED)

UNUT IL I Z ^e d Potenti al	,	13570	1	1	6411	1 :	6643	4606	12233		24114	67834	\$ 147832	51793	14077	8607	0766	C784	7	1908		20417	30281	\$ 95527	1486	89771	1575	2261	435	1		\$ 675263
POTENTIAL TAX YIFLD AT AVERAGE RATE		13570	46456	259850	. 6411	13322	6643	4606	13043	345744	24808	67834	\$ 270811	51793	20160	C0102	0716	14647	8605	52024	57361	25709	35367	\$ 125220	10001	92308	1575	5085	7252	1		. \$ 1568113
AMOUNT COLLECTED		1	. 651265	390346	:	17841	1	!	810	525990	759	1	\$ 162599	l	000	8011	192	9822	156	63664	C8696	5292	9809	\$ 29693	17515	7537	1	2824	189	!		\$ 1255032
VALUE OF SEVERED PRODUCTS		064400	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8C54879 -	126270	281311	140267	97265	275410	750067	778663	1432354	1828115. \$		1033644	426216	108119	309284	181708	1098531	1211213	E98675	746803	\$ 2644117	716107	047700	07766	107367	153127		I	\$ 32111936
STATE AND REGION	SPEB STATES (CCNTINUED)		Ze (EURLIA			25 FANTLAND		ANTIOUS TO CANCELL OF CO.			.	35 VINGINIA 36 MEST VINGINIA	MOUNTAIN STATES		37 ARIZONA								44 ULAF 45 WYOPING	PACIFIC STATES					49 CHEECH 50 MASHINGTCN		CISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



ALL NOTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 116

TABLE 10
STATE TRANSFER TAXES,
FOR STATES, REGICNS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974
(IN THOUSANDS)

TENTIAL AX YIZLC UNUTILIZED RATE POTENTIAL	37594 \$ 27065	10852 10852			2736 2233	124064 \$ 25416	•	1938	25416 25416		35288	175275 \$ 161479	38307 34695	15236 15236									12920 11623	162678 \$ 83070		1938 3291	2005
d F V	•		•			•						•										m		•			
AMOUNT ON CCLLECTED	9 10976	1		1053		867066 9 331913	•	1955	1			res \$ 13796	3612	1	1464	!	!	u,		829	•		 1297	\$ 193808	8707	1502	FOOFT
STATE ANC REGION	NEW ENGLANC STATES	1 CCNNECTICUT		A NOT TANDSTAN	5 PECCE ISLAND	V T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 C	7 CELAMARE		S NEW YORK	19 FENNSYLVANIA	NOFTH CENTRAL STATES	SICNITI II		m			16 MINNESOTA	17 MISSCLRI	18 REBRASKA	19 - PCRTH CAKOTA	20 CFIC	22 MISCCUSIA	SREB STATES	23 FLAEAMA	24 ARKENSAS	25 FLORIDA



TABLE 10 (CCNTINUSC)

UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL		7936	6293	8811	i	4750	13434	347	-	31345	!	2755	\$ 29546	5834	7243	5141	1907	1212	2521	4846	2836	COOT	\$ 85970	1286	68083	1655	6265 8671	\$ 389	\$ 412934
FOTSNTIAL TAX VIELD AT AVERAGE RATE		12361	8150	8811	13976	4750	13434	6438	10056	31345	14035	4270	32097	5834	7243	2141	1997	1890	2521	6119	2836	6001	89231	1286	68093	5696	6485 10668	2937	623874
P T A													•										•					•	•
AMOLNT CCLLECTED		4425	1857	1	14655	<u> </u>	i	1509	11751	: 1	17887	1515	2551	. !	1	ŀ	ł	678	;	1873		i	2261	ł	ł	1044	2 20 1997	2548	497378
\$13													•										••					,•	•
STATE AND REGION	SREB STATES (CCNTINUED)	24 GEFEGT A	27 KWA1-1XX				_		107			36 NEST VIRGINIA	PCLNTAIN STATES	4N07184 7F					42 NEW PEXICE			45 WYCPING	PACIFIC STATES	46 ALASKA				CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF CCLUMBIA



ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

TABLE 11

OTHER TAX REVENUE AND TOTAL STATE AND LOCAL TAX REVENUE, FOR STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974 (In Thousands)



TABLE 11 (CONTINUED)

TOTAL STATE AND LOCAL TAXES		2359222	1494330	1691121	27738.55	1013597	2587033	1200740	1857605	5814823	2575243	825361	\$ 6613875	1308101	1503299	395242	439249	427115	552374	1199322	573342	215831	\$ 20511504	205862	16040515	652270	1339908	2272951	2844487	-	\$ 132500384
OTHER AND UNALLECABLE TAXES		42578	39250	24900	63371.	5500	1616	17300	31484	22100	79261	26316	\$ 81326	10700	24463	2400	2552	23160	5800	6711	3900	1700	\$ 306443	3043	255300	4300	13000	30800	\$ 14700		\$ 1855532
OTHUR LICENSE TAXES		23578	22149	60584	22019	29631	72161	18253	79926	Ž	, 90 -	10997	\$ 143876	13466	22673	14271	11505	25683	10621	28034	9387	8236	\$ 199179	12699	104681	1779	33310	41718	\$ 5167	-	\$ 2442118
PARIMUTUEL TAXES		1	9160	, r	1,552	1	! !	1		-	1	11869	\$ 13885	6278	5951	1 00	1	11	1464	-	!	23	\$ 64809	-	7777	1	3035	4027	1		\$ 626817
STATE ANC REGION	SREE STATES (CCNTINUED)		\$40 - FRUS FC	4.4.4.4.7. 00 0.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4	26 LULISTANA .	25 FALTERS	AND TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OW	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O		DO TEXAS	25 VIRGINIA	36 KEST VIRGINIA	PCLNTZIN STATES	4404 66	COMPOUTOR OF		0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4					PACIFIC STATES	. V X V V Y Y	ATMENTAL TAL	TIVE TO THE TOTAL	ZUUSU 07		CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



TABLE 12

SUMMARY OF UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL, 1974 (IN THGUSANES)

A XES CGRPOKATE	17328	13131	4196	67542	572841	132665 143534 26342	82150	146965 15001	583665 37818 4088 51178
₹ S	•			•	•				•
INCOME TAXES INDIVIDUAL CGRP	\$ 564379	397596 57828 77864		\$ 931207 931207 	\$ 1466044	423210 25653£ 108598	. 364 116832 77503	28211 394836 59955	\$ 3352591 128223 66906 882631
GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES	1	1111	11	\$ 95883 <u>1</u> 79148 879683	\$ 676371	1111	37901	418567	\$ 4343216 449755 195732 514961
DEATH AND GIFT TAXES	1	1111	11		\$ 126742	8584 12376 3117	29193 14810 8466	47025	\$ 131927 12557 9938 1199 0
SELFCTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS TAXES	\$ 186687	31213 13095 121436 11662		\$ 394402 4749 100514 155476 133263	\$ 1008512	195334 166858 56251 31571	247596 52548 24787	17568 110949 4655 7455	\$ 381473 1495 21052 22745
GENSAAL SALES ANC GPCSS RECEIPTS TAXES	\$ 673250	15538 518540 57162	17286 23924	83415 355609 328499	\$ 560712	 68643 48673	73566 148800 49425 22044	1474 459178 78589	13652
STATE AND REGION	NEW ENGLANC STATES	1 CCNNECTICLT 2 MAINE 3 MeSSACHUSETTS 4 NEW FAMPSFIRE	5 AHCCE ISLAND 6 VERMENT	MICCLE ATLANTIC STATES 7 CFLANARE 8 NEW JERSEY 9 NEW YORK 10 FENNSYLVANIA	NCRTH CENTRAL STATES	11 1LL7,253 12 INCIANA 13 IChA			SREB STATES 23 FLAEAMA 24 ARKANSAS 25 FLCFICA

TABLE 12 (CONTINUED)

TOTAL	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	\$ 1560871	468116	89385	715119	188397	70020	29838	\$ 3279852	167595	1495838	171856	1444563	\$ 5385568	856185	647078	176251	256417	411236	212257	531615	170352	105894	1755183	84274	178829	\$ 9847494	675051	1493271	1
	TRANSFER	\$ 27065	10852	2524	10252	1234	2233	1	\$ 25416	1	25416	!	!	\$ 161479	34695	15236	1519	9999	29289	2618	12498	3258	1919	32358	1562	11623	\$ 83070	4107	1675	•
	SEVERANCE TAXES	\$ 12202	1650	2806	4119	456	793	1717	\$ 81211	241	8109	16379	58513	\$ 180334	36566	15145	6442	27001	30517	1558	21587	2774	564	30459	3102	4118	\$ 158157	10374	5065	;
	øgtaR fuel Taxes	17871	ł	1	12677	1	5154	1	\$ 17504	ł	1841	i	15663	\$ 137614	13415	!	11816	20394	1.	1 563 7	;	1	7080	62652	1	6199	\$ 1,31847	1	17%	7017
LICENSE TAXES	MCTCR VEHICLE	\$ 57775	10867	· •	76887	1 1	3566	;	\$ 28943	}	i	i	28543	\$ 78626	;	17383	1	3406	1	1	1	;	1	5215E	!	ł	\$ 189952	30722	166) }
LICENSE	ALCOHCLIC BEVERAGE	\$ 4214	;		1172	1 0 7	744	; !	\$ 3877		3835		1	\$ 16300	7715					2343					;	2883	\$ 14270		13.6.5	
	•		•	٠,	7 1	ο.	4 r	9		,	~ 0	0	5 2		_	;;	1 1	3 5	1 1	2 2	: 2	18	2	; ;	; ;	77		23	24	25



TABLE 12 (CONTINUED)

1 XES	CCRPORATE		!	1658	24338	46343	19491	1	1	1	326983	40001	31798	127929	21503	22815	1	4155	19716	10139	29702	9412	10487	126442	5169	ł	1655	1	111281	5513	1501252
7	J							•						•										•						•	•
INCOME TAXES	INDIVIDUAL		134264	31213	238121	1	65166	11164	54339	368388	1202745	69564	64276	\$ 429261	86159	27408	1866	1	72521	38790	137031	18791	38574	\$ 1219176	108	809741	1	1	40 9327	•	\$ 7962651
	PROPERTY TAXES		2 79366	332647	377921	146174	140563	445057	235558	339618	334336	389645	162150	\$ 442676	i	36516	40961	•	9003	84362	222334	49500	!	\$ 195725	34910	ł	84732	1	16083	\$ 75254	\$ 6692065
	DEATH AND GIFT TAXES	·	18558	2538	6923	16794	7489	1	8905	ł	21928	12569	1738	\$ 21448	8319	· ‡	1283	1	4349	3428	1	2857	1211	\$ 6397	2846	i	3550	!	1	\$ 794	\$ 287307
SELECTIVE SALES AND	RECEIPTS TAXES		51147	75164	2772	73456	13670	2005	15111	15633	6604	46824	21757	8 115439	22462	31869	17055	6773	4514	1267	1	15130	E332	\$ 565183	13728	484767	11535	45237	4517	\$ 5376	\$ 2665068
GENERAL SALES	RECEIPTS TAXES		1	16652	1	158183	;	65413	!	1	22151	157177	!	\$ 174349	ł	1	22342	82083	1	;	68525	;	1	\$ 317502	36737	ł	;	275165	ľ	\$ 19458	\$ 3353512
	STATE AND REGION	SREE STATES (CCNTINUED)						31 NCPTH CARCLINA		•	34 TEXAS		36 WEST VIRGINIA	MOLNTAIN STATES	37 APIZCNA	38 .CCLCFACO	39 ICAPC	40 PCNTONA		_	43 CKLAFCMA		45 MYCMING	PACIFIC STATES	46 ALASKA	47 CALIFCANIA			50 MASHINGTON	CISTRICT CF CCLUMBIA	ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



TABLE 12 (CONTINUED)

TOTAL	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	560846 491265 689476 490177 293504 293504 13878073 1387869 741911		\$ 2856108 98270 1651601 116542 358334 631364 \$ 110783
	TRANSFER TAXES	7936 6293 8811 4750 13434 347 31345	7	\$ 85970 1286 68093 1655 6265 8671 \$ 389
	SEVERANCE TAXES	13570 6411 6411 6643 4606 12233 24114	H	\$ 95527 1486 85771 1575 2261 435
	PCTOR FUEL TAXES	129386		\$ 155157
TAXES	MOTER Vehicle	54095 21136 30222 7792 20611 2520E	\$ 43565 \$ 662 20610 817 1513 4139 6823	\$ 87354 70947 357 16050
LICENSE	ALCOHELIC BEVERAGE	26 27 264 27 28 357 29 2776 30 245 31 2645 33 1 2645 34 591	•	\$ 1241 46



TABLE 13

GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECFIPTS TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND ADDITIONAL REVENUE POSSIBLE BY COLLECTIONS OF UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL AMOUNTS, PER CAPITA AND PER \$1000 OF PERSONAL INCOME, 1974

			7			
		PER CAPITA		PER \$1000	OF PERSONAL INCOME	INCOME
STATE AND REGION	ACTUAL COLLFCTIONS	UNUTILIZED PGTENTIAL	AMOUNT PCSSIBLE	ACTUAL COLLECTIONS	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	AMOUNT POSSIBLE
ALL STATES INCLUDING CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$124.48	\$ 16.06	\$140.53	\$ 22.91	\$ 2.95	\$ 25.86
NEW ENGLAND STATES	75.76	55.41	135.17	14.00	9.73 3.37	23.73 25.21
NOFTH CFYTRAL STATES PCLNTAIN STATES PACIFIC STATES	118.14 126.23 160.08	16.70 14.39 11.42	134.83 140.62 171.50	21.06 25.89 27.12	2.98 2.95 1.93	24.04 28.84 29.05
SREE STATES	118.19	7.56	125.74	24.93	1.59	26.52
23 ALDEAMA 24 ARKANSAS 25 FLCFICA	116.63 93.43 147.91	6.64	116.63 100.07 147.91	27.78 21.63 28.25	1.55	27.78 23.38 28.25
26 CEDRGIA 27 KENTUCKY	120.01	96.4	120.01	25.74 22.27	1:11	25 . 74 23.38
28 LCUISIANA 29 MAFYLAND 30 MISSISSIFFI 31 NCTF CARCLINA 32 SOUTH CARCLINA	144.60 89.08 155.28 94.88 113.05	48.41 12.55	144.60 137.45 155.28 107.83 113.05	33.55 15.15 11.26 41.26 20.57 26.55	8.23	33.55 23.38 41.26 23.38 26.55
33 TENESSEE 34 TEXAS 35 VIRGINIA 36 MEST VIRGINIA	145.74 110.14 91.07 151.16	1.64	145.74 111.98 123.10 151.16	32.50 22.99 17.30 34.43	6.08	32.50 23.38 23.38 34.43



ALL NCTES AND FCCTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 117

ALL NOTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

٠,

TABLE 14

SELECTIVE SALES AND GRCSS FECEIPTS TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND ADDITIONAL REVENUE POSSIALE BY COLLECTIONS OF UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL AMOUNTS, PER CAPITA AND PER \$1000 OF PERSONAL INCOME, 1974

		PER CEPITA		PER \$1000	OF PERSONAL INCOME	INCOME	
STATE AND REGION	ACTUAL CGLLECTIONS	UNLTI LI 2ED POT ENT IAL	AMCUNT POSSIBLE	ACTUAL COLLECTIONS	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	AMOUNT POSSIBLE	
ALL STATES INCLUBING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$ 55.78	\$ 12.61	\$ 72.39	\$ 11.00	\$ 2.32	\$ 13,32	
NEW GAGLAND STATES MITCHE ATLANTIC STATES	62.50 63.59	15.37	77.86	10.97	2.70	13.67	
NORTH CENTUAL STATES MCLNTAIN STATES FACIFIC STATES	49.71 58.86 52.51	17.53 5.86 20.31	67.23 68.72 72.82	8.86 12.07 8.90	3.12 2.02 3.44	11.99	
SREB STATES	65.23	6.04	75.27	14.60	1.27	15,88	
23 ALBEANA 24 ARKANSAS	79.02	0.42	79.44	18.82	0.10 2.39 0.54	18.92	
25 FLORIDA 26 GFJRGIA 27 KENTUCKY	50.80 57.00 45.61	2.81 10.48 23.28	93.61 67.47 68.90	12.23	2.25	14.47	
28 LCUISIANA 29 PERYLAND 30 PISSISSIFPI 31 NORTH CARCLINA 32 SOUTH CAROLINA	5.4.2.2.6.2.2.6.2.2.6.3.2.6.3.2.6.3.2.2.3.3.6.3.3.3.6.3.3.3.3	17.95 17.95 5.88 1.68 5.43	54.98 78.71 47.53 69.90 62.08	12.58 10.33 11.07 14.79 13.31	0.17 3.05 1.56 0.36 1.27	12.76 13.38 12.63 15.16 14.58	
33 TENNESSEE 34 TEXAS 35 VIRGINIA 36 MEST VIRGINIA	67.12 81.50 78.43 64.78	3.75 0.71 9.54 12.15	70.91 82.21 87.97 76.92	14.97 17.02 14.90 14.76	0.84 0.15 1.81 2.77	15.81 17.16 16.71 17.52	



GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND ADDITIONAL

REVENUS	REVENUE PROFERIT LAKES, CULLECTIONS AND MUDILICHAL REVENUE POSSIELE BY COLLECTIONS OF UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL AMOUNTS PER CAPITA AND PER \$1000 CF PERSONAL INCOME, 1974	17 LAKES, CULL OLLECTIONS OF PER \$1000 CF	LECTIONS AND AUDIONITY AUDIONITY AND AUDIONITY AUDIONITY AND AUDIONITY AND AUDIONITY AND AUDIONITY A	POTENTIAL AMO ICOME: 1974	UNTS,	
		PER CAPITA		PER \$1000	OF PERSONAL INCOME	INCOME
STATE AND REGION	ACTUAL CCLLECTIONS	UNUTILIZED PCTENTIAL	AMOUNT PCSSIBLE	ACTUAL COLL ECT IONS	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	AMDUNT POSSIBLE
ALL STATES INCLUCING CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$225.93	\$ 31.66	\$257.58	\$ 41.58	&	05°25 \$
NEW ENGLAND STATES	340.10	}	340-10	59-70	;	59. 70
MIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES	287.58	25,33	312.91	47.65	4.20	51,85
NOFTH CENTRAL STATES	238,39	11.75	250.14	42.50	2.10	44.60
PCLNTAIN STATES	175.27	36,53	211.80	35.94	7.49	43.43
PACIFIC STATES	310.47	7.03	317.51	52.60	1.19	53.79
SREB STATES	128.38	68.75	197.13	27.08	14.50	41.58
23 ELBERMA	48.83	125.74	174.53	11.62	29.95	41.58
24 PRKANSAS	83.03	94.52	177.96	19,40	22.18	41.58
25 FLORICA	154.01	63.65	217,66	29.42	12.16	41.58
•	136.67	57.16	193.83	29.31	12.26	41.58
27 KENTUCKY	86.77	60*66	185.86	19.41	22.17	41.58
28 LCUISIANA	78.79	100.40	179.19	18.28	23.30	41.58
	208,80	35.70	244.51	35.50	40.9	41.58
30 MISSISSIFFI	86.00	66.48	156.48	25.51	16.07	41.58
NOSTH	10 6.77	85.55	191.76	23.58	17,99	41.58
32 SOUTH CARCLINA	92.43	84.61	177.04	21.71	19.87	41.58
33 TENNESSEE	104.19	82.25	186.44	23.23	18.34	41.58
	171,35	27.75	199,13	35.78	5.79	41,58
35 VIRGINIA	139.52	79.39	218.91	26.50	15.08	41.58
36 MEST VIRGINIA	91.97	60.54	182.51	20.95	20.62	41.58

90

ALL NCTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 16

INCIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES, COLLECTICNS AND ADDITIDNAL REVENUE POSSIBLE BY COLLECTIONS OF UNDILLIZED POTENTIAL AMOUNTS, FER CAPITA AND PER \$1000 OF PEPSONAL INCOME, 1974

		PER CAFITA		PER \$1000	PER SIUGO OF PERSONAL INCOME	INCOME
STATE AND REGICA	ACTUAL CCLLECTIGNS	UNUTILIZED PCTENTIAL	AMOUNT POSSIBLE	ACTUAL COLLECTIONS	UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL	AMDUNT POSSIBLE
ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	80.56 \$	\$ 37.67	\$129,75	\$ 16.95	£6*9 \$	\$ 23,88
NEW FNGLANC STATES MIDDLE ATLANTIC STATES NOWTH CENTRAL STATES PCUNTAIN STATES FACIFIC STATES	95.82 156.88 101.29 66.65 84.66	46.45 25.48 25.48 35.48 43.80	142.27 181.49 126.76 102.11 128.47	16.82 25.99 18.06 13.68	8.15 4.08 4.54 7.26 7.42	24.97 30.07 22.60 20.94 21.76
SRFB STATES	51.18	53.07	104.24	10.79	11.19	21.99
23 ALABAMA 24 ARKANSAS 25 ELCRICA 26 GECRGIA 27 KENTLCKY	51.64 56.15 69.65 83.86	35.65 32.45 109.10 27.50 9.30	87,48 89,20 105,10 97,15 93,16	12.30 13.26 14.94 18.76	8.54 7.58 20.84 5.90 2.08	20.84 20.84 20.84 20.84 20.84
28 LCLISTANA 29 MARYLAND 30 MISSISSIPPI 31 NOTH CARGLINA 32 SOLTH CARGLINA	26.56 206.46 35.51 94.04 65.22	63.26 42.52 2.08 19.52	89.82 206.46 78.43 96.12 88.74	6.16 35.11 9.44 20.39 16.26	14.68 11.40 0.45 4.58	20.84 35.11 20.84 20.84
33 TENNESSEE 34 TEXAS 35 VIRGINIA 36 MEST VIRGINIA	95.55	89.46 99.81 14.17 35.89	93.45 99.81 109.73 91.48	0.89 18.15 12.66	19.95 20.84 2.69 8.18	20.84 20.84 20.84 20.84

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 17

CCFFGRATE INCOME TAXES, COLLECTIONS AND ADDITIONAL REVENUE POSSIBLE BY COLLECTIONS OF UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL AMOUNTS, PERSONAL INCOME, 1974

	AL INCOME	ED AMOUNT L POSSIBLE	1 \$ 6.56	5 7.52 0 7.46 7 5.99		5 5.78	52 5.67 46 5.67 221 5.67			6.20	5.67 5.67 5.67 5.67
	OF PERSONAL	UNUTILIZED PGT ENT IAL	\$ 1.31	0.25 0.30 1.77	2.1	1.95	2.52 0.46 1.21	0.11	1.92	1	5.67 1.55 4.04
NCCMS, 1974	PER \$1000	ACTUAL COLLECTIONS	\$ 5.26	7.27 7.16 4.22	3.51 7.05	3,83	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00°C	4 . E	6.54	6.10 4.12 1.62
F PERSCNAL I		AMOUNT PGSSIBLE	\$ 35.67	42.84 45.00 33.61	27.69	27.42	23.78 24.25 29.66 27.17	25.33	33.32	24.52 28.58 27.86	27.36 27.14 29.83 24.87
) PER \$1000 C	PER CAPITA	UNUTILIZED PCTSNTIAL	8 7.10	1.43	10.56	6.24	10.57	0.49	11.32		27.14 8.15 17.75
PER CAPITA AND PER \$1000 OF PERSCNAL INCCMS, 1974		ACTUAL CCLLECTIONS	\$ 28.57	41.42 43.21 23.66	17.13	16.18	13.21 22.27 23.33 *	24.83	00-22	28.58 27.86	27.3¢ 21.68 7.12
		STATE AND REGION	ALL STATES INCLLDING CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	NEW ENGLAND STATES PICCLE ATLANTIC STATES ACETH CENTRAL STATES	MCLNTAIN STATES FACIFIC STATES	SRFP STATES	23 ALBBAMA 24 ARKANSAS 25 FLTFICA 26 CEDRGIA		ZS PARYLAND	30 FISSICSIPPI 31 NORTH CARCLINA 32 SCUTH CARCLINA	33 TENNESSEE 34 TEXAS 35 VIRGINIA 36 NEST VIRGINIA

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 18

STATE AND LOCAL TAX COLLECTIONS BY SOURCE AS PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL COLLECTIONS, FOR SREB STATES, REGIONS AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974

•	GENEFAL SALES	SELECTIVE SALES	GENERAL	INCOME TAXES	TAXES	
STATE AND REGICA	RECEIPTS	AND EXUSS RECEIPTS	TAXES	INDIVIDUAL	CORPORATE	OTHER
ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	15.9%	9.5	36.05	14.75	1 9 • 4	15.34
NEW ENGLAND STATES	11.4	8 • 9	48.6	13.7	5.9	11.5
PICCLE ATLANTIC STATES	16.7	o-	36.4 4.0E	19.8	ານ ເກີດ ເກີດ	13.6
TOTAL OF STANDING STA	73.1	10.8	32.1	12.2		18.6
PACIFIC STATES	21.7	7.1	42.1	11.5	5.6	11.9
SREB STATES	24.1	14.1	26.2	10.4	3.7	21.4
23 FLABAMA	. 5.62	19.5	12.3	13.0	3.3	22.1
	53.5	11.4	21.3	14.5	2.1	23.2
	27.7	17.0	28.8	ł	4.4	25.2
	24.8	11.8	28.3	14.4	5.6	15.1
27 KENTUCKY	22.4	10.2	19.5	18.8	5.6	23.5
28 LCUISIANA	28.8	10.8	15.7	5.3	3.6	35.9
	13.1	0.6	30.8	30.5	3.2	13,3
	25.7	9.5	22.1	8.2	3.0	21.5
	15.7	14.1	22.5	19.5	5.9	18.2
32 SOUTH CARGLINA	26.2	13.1	21.4	16.0	6.5	16.7
33 TENNESSEE	32.4	14.9	23.2	6.0	6.1	22.6
	22.8	16.5	35.5	;	ł	24.8
35 VIRGINIA	17.	14.5	26.6	18.2	4.1	18.8
	32.8	14.1	20.0	12.1	1.5	19.6

93

ALL NOTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

TABLF 19

TOTAL TAX REVENUES AS A PERCENT OF PERSONAL INCOME AND AS A PERCENT

GENERAL 1974		TAX	INDEX	!	8 7	2	14	£43	56	7	!	55	24	σ	31	ŀ	45	34	52	3.7	04	1	o .	91	o (.	3	æ	1	21	27	41
L INCOMO AND AS A FENCENS S A PERCENT OF GENERAL UNITED STATES, 1974	FEDERAL	PERCENT OF	REVENUES	1	_	24.5	18.9	21.0	23.5	26.5	1	19.2	16.2	17.4	19.8	1	20.7	14.8	16.8	17.6	18.8	18.9	19.6	18.0	22.4	7.81	27.6	16.8	1	26.8	27.0	16.0
GTAL TAX REVENUES AS A PERCENT OF PEYSUNAL OF GENERAL REVENUES, AND FEDERAL AIDS AS REGIONS, AND THE U	A PERCENT OF	GENERAL	REVENCES TRUP OWN SOURCE	1	64.9	64.5	8 6 . 2	6.51	63.2	79.1	!	75.5	BC.6	81.4	82.4	1	64.6	77.8	76.5	78.1	7.77	77.3	80.2	73.9	64.3	16.4	75.7	81.0	1	70.2	75.8	75.1
NUES AS A PERC REVENUES, AND FOR STATES, RE	TAXES AS		PERSCNAL INCOME	12.2	10.6	13.0	13.4	4.5	11.3	14.4	13.1	10.9	10.7	15.3	11.2	10.8	11-0	16.4	11.1	10.6	11.5	12.8	5*6	11.1	6.3	0° 5	12.3	13.4	10.1	5.1	0.5	5*5
TGTAL TAX REVE OF GENERAL REVENUES•			STATE ANC REGION	NEW ENGLAND STATES	上して またいは 名詞のひ	2 NAINE	S PASCACHUSETTS	A NINE HAVBUILBE	5 RHCCE ISLAND	6 VERMENT	PIDCLE ATLANTIC STATES		PENCHE, JUZ C	0 NG Y Y DB K	10 PENNSYLVANIA	NORTH CENTRAL STATES	-	INCLANA	15.105.1 VI	14 KANNAN	15 WICHIGAN	16 PINNESCTA	17 MISSCURI	18 NEPSASKA	19 NORTH CAKCTA	9F10	21 SOUTH CAKCTA	FI SCOP	SREB STATES	448414 FC		25 FLORICA

TABLE 19 (CCNTINUED)

	TAXES AS A	PERCENT CF	FEDERAL ATOS AS A	
STATE AND REGION	PEPSCNAL INCOME	GFNERAL REVENUES FROM DWN SOURCE	PERCENT OF GENERAL REVENUES	TAX BURDEN INDEX
SREB STATES (CONTINUED)				
	10.2	73.2	23.5	20
27 KENTLCKY	6.5	74.7	26.0	67
28 LCLISIANA	11.5	72.1	23.4	0 9
29 MATYLAND	. 11.5	0.5% c a c	28.5) M
30 MISSISSIPPI	5.1		21.8	22
31 NOTH CARCLINA	0.0	15.2	23.8	15
32 SUCIT CARCLINA	7.6	73.8	23.6	54
AN TOXALLER	8.5	15.B	20.4	32
35 VINGINIA	2.5	78.3	20.2	7.
36 REST VIRGINIA	10.3	9.61	32.3	n -
PCUNT FIN STATES	10.9	;	1	!
ST ERIZENA	11.7	15.3	18.5	12
	11.0	74.5	21.3	28
3-0 TC PC	5.7	76.4	26.9	35
AND LOCAL	12.3	76.1	24.0	~ [
	12.2	74:8	17.1	£ 4
	11.7	70.2	7.67	ר פ
	7.4	71.0	2.62	67
	10.6	7.51	26.2	ο α • -
45 PYCHING	11.4	* 000	7.07	4
PACIFIC STATES	12.3	1	1	!
4 8 1 4 5 K	8.7	47.6	33.7	51
	12.7	£0.3	20.1	62.
48 FAWATI	13.0	7.04	23•3	7 7
49 CREGGN	10.8	73.5	23.8	0 6
	11.0	14.1	13.4	î
CISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	7.6	80.6	51.7	20
ALL STATES INCLUDING DISTAICT OF COLUMBIA	11.4	•	:	1

TABLE 20

UTILIZATION OF STATS ANC LOCAL TAX PGTENTIAL, SREB STATES, 1974

NET AMOUNT COLLECTED ABOVE YIFLD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 463774000	283894000	46812000	7 2 2 8 1 0 0 0	38,3413,410	255587900		-124989303	-4343215400	-3009111900	-548357040	-62200 00	-123040000	170110000	164396000	31130000	
AMOUNT CCLLECTED BELOW YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 477328000	51574000	123312303	14921300	127334000	64632000	•	131 52 7000	4343216300	3352591000	583665000	14270000	189952000	131847900	158157000	8307000	\$ 5847493000
AMOUNT COLLECTED ABGVE YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE PATE	\$ 941102900	335468000	165624000	E72C1000	507748000	320219300		0008659		0 00 51556	35306000	8045900	66511000	301557000	322553000	114200000	\$ 356055000
A TYPE OF TAX	GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS SFIRCTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS	ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGES	TGB ACCO PRODUCTS	INSURANCE	PUBLIC LTILITIES	CTFFR		STATE CEATH AND GIFT	GENERAL PROPERTY	INCIVICUAL INCOME	CCROURATE INCCME	STATE ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE	MOTOP VEHICLE LICENSE	MOTOR FUELS	SEVERANCE	TRANSFER	TOTALS

ALL NCTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PACE 117

+\$ 6286540000

NET UNLTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF MBFLCW AVERAGEM YIELDS CVER MABOVE AVERAGEM YIELDS)



TABLE 21

UTILIZATICN OF STATE AND LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, ALABAMA,1974

TYPE OF TAX	AMOUNT YIELD AV	AMOUNT COLLECTED ABOVE YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE PATE	AMGUNT COLLECTED BELCM YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE '
GENERAL SALES AND GRCSS RECEIPTS SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHCLIC BEVERAGES TCRACC PROCLCTS INSLANCE PUBLIC UTILITIES. OTHER STATE CEATH AND GIFT GENERAL PROPERTY CORPOINTURED INCOME CORPORATE INCOME CORPORATE INCOME CORPORATE INCOME STATE ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSE PUTCH FUELS SEVERANCE TRANSFER	ν, υ,	66145000 43266000 10643000 54262000 54262000 571000	1495000 12557000 449755000 128223000 37818900 30722800
TCTALS	•	196897000	\$ 675051000
NET UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELOW AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER "ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)		\$ *	+\$ 478154000

97

ALL NOTES AND FCGTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 2'2

UTILIZATION OF STATE AND LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, ARKANSAS, 1974

AMBUNT COLLECTED ABOVE AMOUNT COLLECTED BELOW

TYPE OF TAX	YIELD C	YIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE PATE	YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE
GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIFTS	•		\$ 13692000
SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPES ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES TOBACCO PRODUCTS		14116000	2329000
INSLFANCE PUBLIC LTILITIES GT+ER		437000	9248000 9475000
STATE DEATH AND GIFT CENTRAL PROPERTY INFIVENIAL INCRAS.			9938000 195732900 66906000
CORPORATE INCOME STATE ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE		-	4088000 365000
MOTICE VEHICLE LICENSE PLOTOR FLELS SEVERANCE TRANSFER		25254000	16600 0 5065000 32910 00
TOTALS	•	43847000	\$ 320296000
NET UNLTILIZED PCTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELGW AVERAGE" YIELDS DVER "ABGVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)		+\$ 27	+\$ 276449000

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



8

TABLE 23

UTILIZATICN OF STATE ANC LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, FLORICA, 1974

A TYPE CF TAX	YIELE AV	AMOUNT CCLLECTED ABGVE YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	E AMCUNT COLLECTED BELCW YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE
GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIFTS SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCHOLIC BEVERAGES TCBACC FGOCUTS INSLRANCF FUBLIC UTILITIES		206391000 8C9C6000 50238000 154514000	13071300
STATE CEATH AND GIFT GENERAL PROPERTY CENERAL INCOME CORPERTS INCOME STATE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE PCTOR VEHICLE LICENSE PCTOR YEHICLE FTRANSFER		5819000 26773000 107025000	11990000 514961000 882631000 51178000 2461000 7307000
TOTALS	•	633773000	\$ 1493271000
NET UNUTILIZEC POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELO% AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER "ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)		\$ +	000867638

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 24

UTILIZATION OF STATE AND LOCAL TAX FOTENTIAL, 650RGIA, 1974

TYFE OF TAX	AMOUNT C VIELD C AVE	AMOUNT CCLLFCTEC ABOVE VIELD COLLFCTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE		AMDUNT COLLECTED BELOW VIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE
ENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ELICTIVE SALES AND GRCSS RECEIPTS ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGES TOBACCC PFOCUCTS INSLRANCE OUBLIC LTILITIES CTFR	•	53£12000 68527000 3533000 3554000	•	32964300 18182000
TATE CEATH AND GIFT ENERAL PRCPERTY NCIVICUAL INCCME CPPOFATF INCOME TATE ALCOPCLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE CTOR VEHICLE LICENSE CTOR PUELS EVERANCE RANSFER		3683000		18558900 279066000 134264000 2210000 54095000 13570000
TOTALS	•	151707000	•	260846000
ET UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS P "BELCh AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)		\$ +	409139000	

ALL NOTES AND FCCTACTES ARE ON PAGE 117

TABLE 25

UTILIZATION OF STATE AND LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, KENTUCKY, 1974

TYPE OF TAX	AMOUNT O	AMOUNT COLLECTED ABOVE YIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE		AMOUNT COLESCTED BELOW VIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE
GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS	•		•	16652300
SELTCTIVE SALCS AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHCLIC BEVERAGES TOBACCC PRODUCTS				16677000 23896000
INSUPANCE PUBLIC UTLITIES CTPFR		44215000		37591000
STATE DEATH ANC GIFT General Padperty				2538000 332647000
INDIVIDLAL INCCME CCRPORATE INCCME				31213900 1658000
STATE ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE				964900
MOTION VETTOR LICENSE		27574000	į	
SEVERANCE Transfer		. 0006677		6 293000
TOTALS	•	99073000	ø	491265000
NET UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS OF "BELOW AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER *ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)		\$E \$ +	392193000	

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

TABLE 26

UTILIZATICN CF STATE AND LCC2: TAX POTENTIAL, LOLISIANA,1574

MO CUACU ANTIONA	AMOUNI COLLECTED BELOW	YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT	AVERAGE RATE
	AMOUNI CULLECTED ABOVE	YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT	AVERAGE RATE

TYPE OF TAX	Ā	AVERAGE RATE	AV	AVERAGE RATE
GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS SELECTIVE SALES ANC GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHCLIC BEVERAGES T CBACCC PRODUCTS INS.RAACE PUBLIC LTILITIES	` ••	1645 E5000 12021000 4363000 5539000	.	2196300
STATE DEATH AND GIFT GENERAL PROPERTY TNCIVICUAL INCCRE CERPORTE INCCRE STATE ALCHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE MCTGR VGHIGLE LICENSE MCTGR FLELS SEVERANCE TRANSFER		12081000		6923000 377921000 24308000 397000 30222000
TOTALS		333685000	•	689476000
NET UNLTILIZEC POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELOW AVERAG"" YIELDS CVCP "ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)		*	355591000	

ALL NOTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 27

UTILIZATICN OF STATE AND LCCAL TAX PCTENTIAL, RARYLAND, 1974

3 ⊢	The state of the s		
AMOUNT COLLECTED BELOW YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 198183000 23964303 37023000 1850300 13662300	16194000 146174000 46343000 2776000 6411000	\$ 490177000
			67291000
AMOUNT CCLLECTEC ABGVS VIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	~	343478000 22576000 1619000	\$ 422886000
AM TYPE OF TAX	GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGES TECHCE PRODUCTS INSLRANCE PUBLIC UTILITIES	CINCH STATE DEATH AND GIFT GENERAL PACPERTY INDIVICAL INCOME CCRPDAALS INCOME STATE ALCS HOLDE MCTOR VEHICLE LICENSE ACTOR FUELS SEVERANCE TRANSFER	TOTALS NET UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELOK AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER "ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)

う

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 28

UTILIZATICN OF STATE AND LCCAL TAX POTENTIAL, MISSISSIPPI,1574

AMOUNT COLLECTED BELOW YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE 1172000 11663000 7792000 835000 748930D 99749300 19491000 4750030 293504000 140563300 • 77073000 AMOUNT COLLECTED ABOVE VIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE ş 4515000 156387000 6062000 7014000 520000 41530000 216431000 • 100 GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHCLIC BEVERAGES TEBACCO PROCECTS INCIVICUAL INCOME CCPPORATE INCOME STATE ALCCHOLG BEVERAGE LICENSE MOTTAN VIFICLE LICENSE SEVERANCE NET UNUTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELDW AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER MABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS) TYPE OF TAX STATE DEATH AND GIFT PUBLIC LTILITIES CENTRAL PROPERTY INSLRANCE TOTALS PANSFER OTHER

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

TABLE 29

UTILIZATION GE STATE AND LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, NORTH CAPOLINA,1974

+\$ 425083000	+\$ 45	NET UNLTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELOW AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER "ABGVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)
\$ 578073000	\$ 15299000	TOTALS
6643000 13434000		MOTION FLELS SEVERANCE TRANSFER
*******	40107000	WOTOR WIFICH LICENSE
26490 0 0 20611300	13148000	CCRPORATE INCOME STATE ALCEPULE BEVERAGE LICENSE
445097000 11164000	233000	STATE CERTE AND GIFT GENERAL PROPERTY ************************************
8037000	8157000	INSUGANCE FUBLIC UTILITIES CTHER
965000	26245000	SELECTIVE SALES AND GRUSS RECEITION ALCCHILLS BEVERAGES
\$ 69473000	us	GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS
AMOUNT CCLLECTED BELCW YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	AMOLNT COLLECTEC ABCVE YIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	A TYPE OF TAX

ALL NOTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 30

UTILIZATION OF STATE AND LCCAL TAX PGTENTIAL, SCUTH CAPOLINA, 1974

C ABOVE AMOUNT COLLECTED BELOW BLE AT YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT FE AVERAGE RATE	13134000 13134000 1253000 723000 8405030 8405030 54339000 00 255208000 25508000 60 25508000	000 \$ 344074000	+\$ 234099000
AMDUNT CCLLECTEC ABOVE YIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	\$ 37572000 36783000 4074000 10464000 1022000	\$ 109575000	
A TYFE OF TAX	GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGES TOCACCO PRODUCTS INSLRANCE FUBLIC UTILITIES GTHER STATE CEATH AND GIFT GENERAL PROPERTY INDIVIOLAL INCOME CORDINATE INCOME CORDINATE INCOME CORDINATE INCOME CORDINATE INCOME STATE ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE POTOR VEHICLE LICENSE POTOR VEHICLE LICENSE REVERANCE TRANSFER	TETALS	NET UNUTILIZEC POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELCW AVERAGE" YIELDS OVER "ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)

ALL NOTES AND FCCTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLC 31

UTILIZATION OF STATE ANC LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, TENNESSEE,1874

AMOUNT CCLLECTED BELOW YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	15633000	339618000 369398000 1898000	\$ 738780000	0
				+\$ 442428000
AMDUNT COLLECTED ABOVE VIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE PATS	168892000 1866600 844800 6205000 53214000	67C4000 8072000 15286000 9669000 1655000	\$ 296352000	*
AMDUNT VIELD A	TS LATE	ni ni		SS:_
TYPE OF TAX	GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS SEL-CTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCHCLIC BEVERAGES TJBACCC PROCLCTS INSLRANCE PUBLIC LTILITIES	STATE CEATH AND GIFT GENERAL FROPERTY THEIVIDUAL INCOME CCRESFATF INCOME STATE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE FCTCR VEHICLE LICENSE FCTOR FUELS SEVERANCE TRANSFER	TOTALS	NET UNLTILIZEC POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELOW AVERAGE" YIELDS CVEP "ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)

ALL NDTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



TABLE 32

UTILIZATION OF STATE AND LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, TEXAS, 1974

AMOUNT COLLECTED BELOW YIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE 31345000 \$ 2078469000 334336000 1202745000 326983000 691 000 129386000 22151300 21928000 8634000 +\$ 1542903000 AMOUNT CCLLECTEC ABOVE VIELD CCLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE 91741000 78758000 1457000 7206030 180246600 535566000 4 GENERAL SALS AND GROSS RECEIPTS SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHOLIC BEVERAGES NET UNLTILIZED POTENTIAL (EXCESS OF "BELDW AVERAGE" YIELDS OVER "ABGVE AVERAGE" YIELDS) GENERAL FROPERTY
TACIVICAL INCOME
CORPORATE INCOME
STATE ALCOPLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE
MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSE TYPE OF TAX STATE CEATH AND GIFT TCBACCC FROCUCTS PUBLIC LTILITIES INSLAANCE SEVERANCE

TOT ALS

TRANSFER

ALL NOTES AND FCCTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



CTHER

UTILIZATION OF STATE AND LOCAL TAX POTENTIAL, VIRGINIA, 1974

AMOUNT COLLECTED BELOW YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT 40301500 2019000 389642000 69564000 24114000 \$ 741911000 AVERAGE RATE 12569000 \$ 157177000 46824000 AMOUNT COLLECTED ABGVE YIELD COLLECTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE 13270000 6052000 88816000 25614000 3 € 5 2 0 0 0 215547000 23532000 × GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS
SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS
ALCOPCLIC BEVERAGES CCRPOPATE INCCME STATE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE PCTCR VSPICLE LICENSE TYPE OF TAX STATE CEATH AND GIFT TOBACCC PRODUCTS PUBEIC LILLIIES CTHSR INCIVICUAL INCCME GENERAL PROPERTY INSLRANCE PCTCR FUELS SEVERANCE TRANSFER TOTALS

ALL NOTES AND FCGTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

526364000

\$

NET UNUTILIZEC POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELOW AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER "ABBOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)

UTILIZATION OF STATE AND LCCAL TAX PCTENTIAL, WEST VIRGINIA, 1974

FEC ABOVE AMOUNT COLLECTED BELOW 18LE AT YIELD CGLLECTIBLE AT ATE AVERAGE RATE	.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 21757000	1738000 16215000 64276000 31798000 728000 021000 67834000 2755000	000 \$ 352307000	+\$ 200280000
AMOUNT COLLECTED ABOVE YIELD CCLLFCTIBLE AT AVERAGE RATE	8 66519000 19021000 1672000 3503000 26645000	118300 1726000 13021000	\$ 152027000	
TYPE OF TAX	GENERAL SALES AND GROSS RECEIFTS SELECTIVE SALES AND GROSS RECEIPTS ALCCHCLIC BEVERAGES TOBACC PROCLOTS INSLRANCE PUBLIC UTILITIES OTHER	STATE CEATH AND GIFT GENERAL FRORERTY INCIVIDUAL INCOME CCROOPATE INCOME STATE ALCOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE HCTCP VEHICLE LICENSE MCTCP FUELS EEVERANCE TRANSFER	TOTALS	NET UNUTILIZEC POTENTIAL (EXCESS CF "BELD" AVERAGE" YIELDS CVER "ABOVE AVERAGE" YIELDS)

ALL NOTES AND FCCTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117



ALL NOTES AND FCOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

FEDERAL INCCME TAX RETURNS, FERCENTAGES OF TOTAL NUMBER, BY ABJUSTED GROSS INCCME CLASS, FOR STATES, REGIONS, AND THE UNITED STATES, 1972

	UNDER \$5,000- \$5,000 9,959	- \$10,000- 19,999	\$20,000- 49,999 7.3	\$50,000 UR MCRE	NUMBER OF RETURNS 4766528
30.7		L en en	2.5	1.3	1227427
39.9		22.7	m M	7. ¤	37716
34.2	26.6	21.0	1° C	. O	316878
36.7	27.0	30.2	5.5	9.0	355483
39.8	28.3	27.2	4°9	0•3	184200
£1.7	27.3	31.5	8.6	1.0	14533639
21.9	24.5	32.5	6.3	6.0	220033
31.5	24.2	32.7	10.6	1.1	2908163
21.7	27.0	30.6	9.5		6943381
31.9	25.8	31.8	6.6	0	7907944
33.0	26.5	32.5	7.3	7.0	21351776
30.3	. 25.8	33.8	5.2	6.0	4331601
33.7	25.9	33.3	9.9	9.0	1942712
35.1	28.6	30 01	5.6	0	1054887
36,3	28.1	28.7	2.9	· ·	167878
58.5	25.3	10 C) • 6 7	». «	1627056
36.45 5.50	8°CZ	30.5	0.0	2.0	1724158
	25.0	27.6	2.6	9.0	577225
45.6	31.8	22.0	3.3	0.3	233690
33.0	26.2	33.8	6•3	0.7	4027089
45.9	25.1	21.4	3,3	0.3	237029
33.6	27.5	32.4	9	9.0	1686987
38.0	28.8	26.3	6.2	7.0	21377056
40.4	28.1	26.0	6.4	9.0	1104584
43.6	30.5	21.8	3.6	0.5	637451
36.5	ו עני	26.0	. 6.4	1.0	2845807

TABLE 35(CONTINUED)

STATE AND REGION	UNDER \$5,000	666'6 -000'5\$	\$10,000- 15,599	\$20,000 - 49,599	\$50,000 OR MORE	NUMBER OF RETURNS
SREB STATES (CONTINUED)		٠				
	0	27.5	26.7	6.1	0.7	1658777
CADITAGA 10	, 47 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	31.3	27.1	4.7	0.5	1091521
	36.6	31.1	26.4	5.5	0.7	1132125
_		26-6	30.5	11.7	9.0	1619345
25 75471540	41.7	32.2	21.9	4.1	9.0	651364
	0,04	30.4	25.5	4.5	9•0	1885743
	4 0 0	30.6	25.3	4.2	0.5	914376
37 NOCIFICARCELIAN	40.4	30.0	24.0	4.7	0.7	1411408
	7 27	2.6.2	26.4	6.3	8.0	4021265
10 (C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C	2 5 6	27.3	27.6	8.9	9.0	1797097
SO VINGINIA	9.4	31.1	29.6	۰۰۶	0.5	585919
	!			•		707607
PCUNTAIN STATES	36.0	26.7	27.6	6.1	0	42601 24
	7 76	57.3	28.5	8,3	0.8	146728
37 PHI CUNA	0.0	22.9	28.8	7.6	2.0	969996
_	7 00	25.6	26.0	7.7	4.0	270059
	٠ د د د د	30.5	26.9	4.4	0.3	266597
			20.5	7.8	6.0	231111
	0.00	2 4 2	9.40	5.3	4.0	371130
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	7.07	25.7	5.2	9.0	924405
		25.0	30.5		0.5	390394
44 UTAT:	0.04	24.1	30.1	5.4	0.5	129783
						1
PACIFIC STATES	33.9	26.1	30.6	8.7	0 . 8	10538525
	30.7	18.9	32.1	17.6	0.7	107084
ないのなしな ひず	4 6	26.0	30.5	9,1	8.0	7952251
	7.46	73.5	28.5	10.6	0.8	318023
- 10 TO SE	. t	26.5	30.3	5.9	9.0	853424
49 CREGEN 50 PASFINGTON	- e e e e	27.5	31.8	7.0	0.5	1307743
	31.6	35.1	24.2	8.0	1.2	283100
EISIKICI OF COLUMBIA	•	•	1			
ALL STATES INCLUDING	,		0	,	0	77127264



	STATE AND LOCAL TA ANG PER \$1000	A P P	AND LOCAL TAX CAFACITY AND CAPACITY IND PER \$1000 OF PERSCNAL INCOME, FOR AND THE UNITED STATES, 1	PACITY UTILIZATION, PER ME, FOR STATES, REGIONS TATES, 1974	EGIONS,
ST	STATE ANC REGICA	ຮ	ACTUAL COLLECTIONS (1,000)	NET UNUTILIZED CAPACITY (1,000)	TAX CAPACITY (CCLS, 1+2) (1,000)
	ENGLANG STATES	•	8501783	\$ -416064	\$ 8385718
	CONNECTICLT		2131200	170595	2301794
	. !		62665	-65629	56102
n 4	REF TAKECHION		440844	779186-	59465
- וער א	RECE ISLAND	٠	569353	27178	596531
• זכטר		•	29936544	\$- 2684546	\$ 27251584
7	CELAWARE		35533	58531	494964
ω (NEW JERSEY		411	507248	5522050
19,	PENNSYLVANIA		7339250	£59855	8288943
ACFTH	H CENTRAL STATES	•	35451200	\$ 2837922	\$ 38289120
11	ILLINGIS		7838487	327605	8166992
12	INGIANA Inha		2934583	349375 85828	3283958 1803538
14	KANSAS		1337185	168582	1596999
15	PICHIGAN		6253759	175317	6429975
17	A LONGONIA		2141329	415005 -	2850280
18	NEERASKA		851715	65184	920899
19	NERTH CAKGTA		331620	101535	433155
20	CLIC		5462888	1705541	7168829
22	FISCONSIN		359226 3158564	1641	2779253
SPEB	STATES	•	30941424	\$ 6286540	\$ 37227952
23	ALAEDYA		1419505	478154	1897658
24	PRKANSAS		805319	276449	1081767
25	FLORIDA		4326702	859458	0029816

ALL NOTES AND FOOTNOTES ARE ON PAGE 117

TABLE 36 (CONTINUED)

\$1,000 OF L INCSME	UTILIZED	\$ 122,82	90	34.	133,77	96	9	44	\$ 131.05	13.8	07.1	0	12.	\$ 109.85	٦.	9	4	6.	115.96	۲.	∹	ᅼ	φ.	ø	8	4.	\$ 103.30	94.53	1.2	5.1	
TAXES PER 1 PERSONAL	CAPACITY	\$ 116.91	5.2	7.0	116.09	1.3	8.4	1.9	\$ 119.30	38	18.	114.48	27.	\$ 118.64	5.7	2.0	7.	7.5	119.21	3.3	9.6	2,3	5	.3	5.4	8	\$ 124.29	126.38	25.5	22.4	
R CAPITA	UTILIZED	\$ 655.74		41	766.70	u.	¥	m .	\$ 790.55	90.2	84.1	84.646	15.3	\$ 616.08	\sim	ď	9	Ç	687.38	Ē,	_	6	Ą	~	~	÷	\$ 489.77	356.84	90.5	34•E	
TAXES PER	CAFACITY	\$ 665.49	45.4	35.E	665,35	24.0	36.6	59.7	10.027 \$	62.2	53.3	714.84	00.3	\$ 665.40	733, 64	616,13	621.71	663.48	706.65	€50.27	605.04	596.82	55.519	667.68	525,13	£0£•68	\$ 585.28	530.52	24.6	41-0	
PERCENT OF	NUTILIZED	!	7.4	ł	ļ	17.6	4.6	1	!	15.5	9.5	1	11.6	7.4	0.4	10.6	4.8	11.2	2.7	;	16.3	7.5	23.4	23.8	0.5	ı	16.9	25.2	2	÷	
L	~		7	2		4	יטי	9		7	- 00	σ	2		=	: 2	1 1	14	15	16.	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	54	8	





۲,	1	ŧ
u	ı.	,
=	-)
=		
۰	_	٠
٠		
4		
ī		ï
i	Ī	,
	_	,
,	c)
·		
Ł	ı	ı
		i
ŧ		
•	¢	ί

ANC REGIDN	ນ	ACTUAL CCLLECTIONS (1,000)	NET UNUTILIZED CAPACITY (1,030)	TAX CAPACITY (CCLS. 1+2) (1,000)
(CCNTINUED)				
		23 59222	409139	2768360
		1454330	392193	1886522
		1851121	355591	2246712
		2773855	67291	2841145
		1010597	77073	1087670
		2587033	425083	3012116
SOUTH CARCLINA		1200740	234099	1434339
		1857605	442428	2300033
		5814823	1542903	7357726
		2575243	526364	31:01607
		825361	200280	1025641
	•	6613875	121005	\$ 7514046
		1368101	37035	1345136
		1503295	139587	1641885
		355242	95572	490814
		435249	10687	966555
		427115	36665	463980
		552374	71785	654159
		1159322	374981	1574392
		513342	0	5634
		215631	51656	267487
	•	20511504	\$ -909705	\$ 19601792
		205862	53558	299420
		16040515	-1138295	14902219
		6.2270	-74101	578168
		1335508	117361	1457269
		2272951	91773	2364724
CCLUMBIA	••	544487	\$ 64578	\$ 609065
INCLUDING				
OF CULUMBIA	•	132200384	0848/09 4	+098/C9FT *





TABLE 36 (CONTINUED)

\$1,000 OF L INCOME	UTILIZED	•	9	2	Ŋ	115,21	Ŋ	'n	N	m	۲.	•	σ.	\$ 111.91	21	12.7	03.2	25.1	۲.	18.9	6.96	۲.	16.6	\$ 124.84	86.97	27.9	31.2	2.2	15.7	\$ 100.70	٠	\$ 115,35
TAXES PER PERSCNAL	CAPACITY	.44*	21.6	25.7	7.8	118.00	24.3	71.7	21.0	24.2	27.4	20.0	30 .4	\$ 127.14	25.2	23.1	24.4	28.1	133,33	34.4	27.2	25.6	44.5	\$ 119.31	~	18.8	16.3	122.04	20.3	\$ 112.64		\$ 120.64
CAPITA	UTILIZEC		33.2	55.1	,		9 7 6	7 6 6	10	8 6	2.5	74.7	460.84	\$ 545.74	7.5	2.2	9	7.6	745.40	2 . 3	2.7	E. 7	1.2	\$ 736.55	610.87	767.23	770.09	591.21	653.50	\$ 753.69		\$ 626.80
TAXES PER	CAFACITY		۰	, 0	•	9 4	•	2 4	יי נ	29	. 4		572.66	\$.620.02	74.7	9		12.1	10	56.7	1,18	59.5	745.09	\$ 704.26	4.4	2.7	2.6		06.389	\$ 842,41		\$ 655.55
PSRCENT OF	CAPACITY UNUTILIZED		4	•	; :	'n,	7.7	٠.	÷.		٠,	• •	15.5	12.0				• •		٠.	• •	, ,	19.3	;	31.2	; •		1 - 8	9 · 6	10.6		4.4
ů.	ب		ć	9 !	27	28	53	30	31	32	33	34	36 53		ŗ	?	38	£ :	40	41	7:	3 :	4 4		46	47		2	20			,





TOTAL TAX CCLLECTIONS, STATE AND LCCAL GOVERNMENTS, FOR STATES, REGIONS, AND THE UNITED STATES, 1974 (IN THOUSANCS)

ю	STATE AND REGION	STATE TAX REVGNUE	LCCAL TAX REVENUE	T AX	TOTAL (REVENUE
NEW	ENGLANC STATES	\$ 4326783	\$ 4165000	•	8501783
1 0	CCNNECTICUT	1052500	1636300		2131200
lm		2204744	2242130		4446844
7		188575	226739		415275
rv o		333653 180055	235700 132400		569353 312455
PICD!	MICDLE ATLANTIC STATES	\$ 15542348	\$ 14354200	ý	29 93 65 44
7	CSLAWARE	308133	87400		395533
89		2054302	2558500		5314802
6		8516363	8675600		17195952
10	PFNNSYLVANIA	4661550	2668700		7330250
NCATH	H CENTRAL STATES	\$ 20060800	\$ 15350400	•	35451200
11		4682487	3755500		7838487
12		1674183	1269400		2934583
		1025410	652330		1717110
14		702799	634400		1337109
15		3734459	2515300		6253759
16		1843129	904230		2747329
17		1330435	1117400		2417835
31.	N C B C C C	405615	446109		851715
4 (02/812	005711		331620
, ,		7612388	606/862		2462888
22	*15CCNSIN	165626 2032164	193600	٠	359226
1					
SAEB	STATES	\$ 15541232	\$ 11000200	•	30941454
23		1024705	354800		1419505
24	-	605415	195500		805319
25		2766602	1540100		4326702

ALL NOTES AND FCOTNCTES ARE ON PAGE 117

TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

PER \$1,000 PERSONAL INCCME, TOTAL TA) REVENUE	\$ 122.82	106.66	134.62	133.77	. 99.92	179.01	144.86	\$ 131.05	σ.	7	152.05	ω,	. \$ 105.85	111.13	104.61	11.3.48	108.96	115,96	128.70	100.11	113.17		51.	•	34.	\$ 103.30	10	^	102-16	1
PER CAPITA TOTAL TAX REVENUE	5.653 \$	91.669	558,53	766.70	513.95	69.199	664.83	\$ 750.55	50.5	84.1	4.64	619.37	\$ 616.08	704.20	550.58	601.65	589,03	687.38	701.39	506.14	551,99	520.60	508.79	526.72	700.61	\$ 489.77	396.84	3000	00000	20.460
TE TAX REVLNLE A PERCENTAGE F TCTAL TAX REVENUE	51.0	_		20	n u	ľ	57.6	51.9				63.6	56.6		52.1	7.67	52.4	59.7	67.1	53.8	47.6	0.99	52.6	46.1	63.5	4.4	72.2	7 - 1	15.2	4.49
ASAS		-	٠,	1 M	7	rus	9		1	- α	o	, 0		:	:	7 :	3 :	1 1	3 %	<u> </u>	; <u>*</u>	2 0	2	3 5	22		,	3	24	22

~
4
Š
$\overline{}$
~
M
S
~
-
u
u
_
_
7
m
٠,
U,1
-
ш
⋖
_
_

STATE AND REGION	STATE TAX REVENUE	LCCAL TAX REVENUS	TOTAL TAX REVENUE
SREG STATES (CONTINUED)			
	1514922	844300	2359222
27 KENTUCKY	1166130	388210	1494330
	1315521	571630	1891121
	1578155	1195700	2773855
	154157	256400	1010597
31 NEWTH CARCLINA	1806433	780690	2597033
	961540	299200	1233740
	1092405	765200	1857695
	3287923	2526933	5814823
V14 G	1536443	1038800	2575243
	626861	198500	825361
MCLNTAIN STATES	\$ 3557475	\$ 2616430	\$ 6613875
37 P312CNA	743201	564900	1308101
	565151	705700	1593299
39 ICAPC	265242	130000	395242
	225549	213700	439249
41 NEVADA	251415	17571	427115
42 NEW MEXICO	437674	114700	552374
43 CKLAHCMA	717522	421800	1199322
44 LTAP	373442	155500	573342
45 FYCHING	125831	90000	215831
FACIFIC STATES	\$ 10724306	\$ 5787200	\$ 20511504
	124162	81700	205862
47 CAL IFORNIA	7571715	8068800	16040515
	454870	157400	652270
	743208	596700	1339906
50 MASPINGTON	1350351	682600	1967177
CISTRICT CF CCLUMBIA	!	\$ 544437	\$ 544487
ALL STATES INCLUCING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$ 74602704	\$ 57857680	\$ 132500384



· (C:	PER \$1,030 PERSONAL INCOME, TOTAL TAX REVENUE	103.66 99.58 116.57 115.21 115.21 104.59	96.7	121.77 112.72 1100.24 1100.24 1125.11 118.99 96.95 116.60 \$ 124.84	86.97 127.94 131.24 112.21 115.72 \$ 100.70
_E 37 (CONTINUED)	PER CAPITA TOTAL TAX REVENUE	040 M 20 M	449.89 482.56 524.70 460.84 \$ 545.74	607.57 602.28 602.28 597.62 745.40 492.31 442.72 442.72 601.20	610.87 767.23 770.09 591.31 653.90 \$ 753.09
TABLE	STATE TAX REVENCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF TCTAL TAX REVENUE	64.2 74.0 69.8 56.5 74.6	9,9,0	556.8 533.1 54.1 55.2 55.3 56.3 57.3 58.3 58.3	60.3 49.7 75.9 55.5 61.2
		26 27 28 29 30 31	33 34 35 36	37 38 39 40 41 42 43 45	46 47 48 50 50

NOTES AND SOURCES FOR TABLES
(Figures in columns and rows may not add to totals because of rounding and truncating)

TABLE 1

The average rate per \$1,000 of personal income for states using this tax is \$23.38.

Figures for the following states include local as well as state general sales tax collections: New York, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, South Dakota, Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Utah, California and Washington. Alaska has a local general sales tax but no state tax.

SOURCE: Population, U.S. Bureau of the Census; Personal Income, Survey of Current Business, August 1975, Tables 1 and 2; State Collection data, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, State Government Finances in 1974, Series GF74, No. 4, August 1975, Table 7; Local Collection data, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Government Finances in 1974, data furnished prior to publication; and estimates based on disaggregated local tax collection data furnished by the U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census.

TABLE 2

The average per \$1,000 of personal income for states using these taxes is as follows: for alcoholic beverages, \$2.09; for tobacco products, \$2.93; for insurance taxes, \$1.46; for public utility taxes, \$3.52; and for other selective sales taxes, \$1.14. Alcoholic beverage taxes include profits from state operated stores. Public utility taxes include profits from publicly owned utilities.

In Minnesota, North Carolina, and South Dakota net profits from municipal liquor stores are not included in alcoholic beverage tax computations. Profits from state liquor stores are included in the following states: Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Pennsylvania, Iowa, Michigan, Ohio, Alabama, Mississippi, Virginia, West Virginia, Idaho, Montana, Utah, Wyoming, Oregon and Washington.

SOURCE: See Citation for Table 1.

TABLE 3

The average rate per \$1,000 of personal income for states using this tax is \$1.25.

SOURCE: See Citation for Table 1.



The average rate per \$1,000 of personal income is \$41.58.

No state property taxes were collected in Connecticut, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Oklahoma or Hawaii.

TABLE 5

The average rate per \$1,000 of personal income for states using these taxes is: for individual income taxes, \$20.84; and for corporate income taxes, \$5.67. Local business net income taxes are included in individual income taxes. In computing the average rate for individual income taxes, states not employing a broad based tax were excluded. These were Connecticut, New Hampshire, New Jersey and Tennessee.

Figures for the following states include local individual and business income taxes: Delaware, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Illinois, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Alabama, Kentucky, Maryland and Virginia.

SOURCE: See Citation for Table 1; and State Tax Collections in 1974, Series GF74, No. 1, Table 8.

TABLE 6

The average rate per \$1,000 of personal income for states using this tax is \$0.13.

SOURCE: See Citation for Table 1.

TABLE 7

The average rate per registered vehicle is \$28.72.

Figures include local motor vehicle and operators license taxes if collected.

SOURCE: See Citation for Table 1; and Automobile Facts and Figures (Detroit: Motor Vehicle Manufacturer's Association, 1975), p. 25.

TABLE 8

The average rate per registered vehicle is \$63.31.

Figures for the following states include local motor fuels taxes: New York, Alabama, Florida, Mississippi, Nevada and Hawaii.

SOURCE: See Citations for Tables 1 and 7.



The average rate per \$1,000 of value for states using this tax is \$47.36.

SOURCE: See Citation for Table 1; and U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Yearbook, 1972, Volume I (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974), Table 4, p. 88; U.S. Department of Commerce, Current Fishery Statistics, No. 6100, Fisheries of the United States, 1972 (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, March 1973), p. 22; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Agriculture, 1969, Volume II, General Report, Chapter 7, Value of Products, Economic Class, Contracts (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973), Table 3.

TABLE 10

The average rate per \$1,000 of personal income for states using this tax is \$.619.

New York and Texas were excluded in the computation of the average rate.

Local realty transfer tax in city of Wilmington, Delaware, is levied at a rate of one percent.

Local tax in New York City on transfers of real property where consideration is over \$25,000.

Local taxes are authorized in Pennsylvania. Over 1,000 school districts and 850 other units impose this tax.

Tax in Indiana is restricted to corporations subject to gross income tax.

A local tax is authorized in Ohio, South Carolina, West Virginia and California.

City of Baltimore, Maryland, and specified counties are authorized to levy this tax.

Virginia counties and cities levy a tax of one-third the state tax.

In Washington, a county tax is authorized and employed in all 39 counties on real estate at a one percent rate.

SOURCE: See Citation for Table 1 and Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, State-Local Finances: Significant Features and Suggested Legislation, 1972 Edition (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office), Table 112.



TABLES 11 through 34, 36 and 37

Statistical bases for these tables are the basic tables, 1-10; and for Table 19; Henry J. Frank, "Measuring State Tax Burdens," National Tax Journal, XXI, June 1959, p. 183.

TABLE 35

SOURCE: Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income, Individual Income Tax Returns (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, December 1974), Table 5.6.

